

# 2013 CIRP Freshman Survey

**CIRP Construct Reports** 

First-time, Full-time Freshmen

# University of Hawaii at Manoa

comparison group 1: Public Universities-medium selectivity

comparison group 2: Public Universities



# 2013 CIRP Freshman Survey CIRP Construct Reports

### **Table of Contents**

1. CIRP Construct Mean Reports  How to Read the CIRP Construct Mean Reports  a. Habits of Mind  b. Academic Self-Concept  c. Social Self-Concept  d. Pluralistic Orientation  e. Social Agency  f. Civic Engagement  g. College Reputation Orientation  h. Likelihood of College Involvement	H1 1A 1B 1C 1D 1E 1F 1G 1H
2. CIRP Construct Percentage Reports  How to Read the CIRP Construct Percentage Reports  a. Habits of Mind  b. Academic Self-Concept  c. Social Self-Concept  d. Pluralistic Orientation  e. Social Agency  f. Civic Engagement  g. College Reputation Orientation	H2 2A 2B 2C 2D 2E 2F 2G 2H



#### How to Read the CIRP Construct Mean Report

CIRP Construct Definition – Summarizes the theoretical rationale for creating the construct.

Standard Deviation – Measures the variability around the mean. A small standard deviation indicates that the responses for the construct tend to be very close to the mean, whereas a large standard deviation indicates that the responses are spread over a larger range of response options.

Statistical Significance - Uses t-test to examine the difference between the mean construct score for your institution and the comparison group. Constructs with mean differences that are larger than would be expected by chance are noted with one, two, or three stars, which correspond to the three standard levels of significance (\*p< .05. \*\*p< .01, and \*\*\*p< .001). Statistical significance measures the extent to which a difference is occurring by chance, not the extent to which a difference is practically important. Large sample sizes (like those in the comparison groups) tend to generate statistical significance even though the magnitude of the difference may be small and not practically significant. In order to provide additional context to statistical significance,

effect sizes are provided.


CIRP Constructs are designed to capture the experiences and outcomes institutions are often interested in understanding, but that present a measurement challenge because of their complex and multifaceted nature. To measure these broad underlying areas more precisely, we use Item Response Theory (IRT) to combine individual survey items into global measures that capture these areas. CIRP Constructs are more than a summation of related items; IRT uses response patterns to derive construct score estimates while simultaneously giving greater weight in the estimation process to survey items that tap into the construct more directly. This results in more accurate construct scores. Constructs are particularly useful for benchmarking. They allow you to determine if the experiences and outcomes for your students differ from your comparison groups. Two reports are generated for each CIRP Construct. The Mean Score Report shows comparative information based on the mean score of a construct. The Percentage Report shows comparative information based on the percentage of students who score in the high, average, and low score groups of a construct. We suggest you use the report that best fits your needs as an institution. Both CIRP Construct reports are generated for first-time, full-time freshman, which we define as those respondents who first entered college in 2013 and are currently enrolled full-time.


For more information about IRT and the CIRP Construct development process, see the CIRP Constructs Technical Report at www.heri.ucla.edu


Academic Self-Concept - is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in academic environments.

			Total			Men			Women	· .	$\triangleright$
	Sample University	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	1
	Total (n)	619	21,085	42,939	300	10,706	19,535	319	10,379	23,404	1
	Mean	48.4	49.6	49.3	48.8	50.2	49.7	48.0	49.1	48.9 🔨	L
7	Standard Deviation	8.74	8.55	8.85	8.67	8.67	8.97	8.80	8.41	8.74	
	Significance	-	***	*	-	**		-	*		
X	Effect Size	-	-0.14	-0.10	-	-0.16	-0.10	-	-0.12	-0.10 🥋	
۱	25th percentile	41.9	43.5	43.0	42.8	44.1	43.0	40.6	43.0	42.8	┡
	75th percentile	54.0	55.3	54.8	54.1	56.4	56.1	53.5	54.1	54.2	

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







#### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Rate yourself on each of the following traits as compared with the average person your age.

- \* Academic ability (3.52)
- \* Self-confidence intellectual (1.22)
- \* Drive to achieve (0.95)
- \* Mathematical ability (1.22)

Survey Items and Estimation "Weights" – The survey items used in the creation of the CIRP Construct are presented in the order in which they contribute to the construct along with the estimation weights generated in IRT. Items that tap into a trait more effectively are given greater weight in the estimation process.

Charts – Provide a visual display of relevant construct scores for your institution and two comparison groups. The Y axis is defined by the highest and lowest possible construct score. Mean scores are represented by blue circles. The numbers at the top and bottom of the vertical line are values for the 75th and 25th percentiles.

Comp 1 – The first comparison group is based on your institution's type, control, and selectivity.

Comp 2 – The second comparison group is based on your institution's type and control.

Mean – The arithmetic mean is computed for each CIRP Construct based on the construct score. CIRP Constructs are scored on a z-score metric and rescaled for a mean of approximately 50 and standard deviation of 10.

Effect Size - Determines the practical significance of the mean difference between your institution and the comparison group. It is calculated by dividing the mean difference by the standard deviation of the comparison group. Generally, an effect size of .2 is considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. A positive sign indicates that vour institution's mean is greater than the mean of the comparison group; a negative sign indicates your mean is smaller than the mean of the comparison group.

## 2013 CIRP Freshman Survey

First-time, Full-time Freshmen


### Habits of Mind


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Habits of Mind - is a unified measure of the behaviors and traits associated with academic success. These learning behaviors are seen as the foundation for lifelong learning.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,010	12,222	41,649	397	5,785	19,180	613	6,437	22,469
Mean	49.2	49.8	50.6	49.5	50.0	50.8	49.0	49.7	50.3
Standard Deviation	9.38	8.73	8.67	9.23	8.82	8.76	9.48	8.64	8.59
Significance	-	*	***	-		**	-		***
Effect Size	-	-0.07	-0.16	-	-0.05	-0.15	-	-0.08	-0.16
25th percentile	42.7	44.1	44.9	43.1	44.3	45.0	42.5	44.0	44.8
75th percentile	55.5	55.6	56.4	55.6	55.9	56.8	55.5	55.5	56.1

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

How often in the past year did you:

- \* Seek solutions to problems and explain them to others (1.99)
- \* Support your opinions with a logical argument (1.74)
- \* Seek alternative solutions to a problem (1.61)
- \* Evaluate the quality or reliability of information you received (1.58)
- \* Explore topics on your own, even though it was not required for a class (1.27)
- \* Seek feedback on your academic work (1.24)

- \* Ask questions in class (1.20)
- \* Look up scientific research articles and resources (1.05)
- \* Revise your papers to improve your writing (1.04)
- \* Take a risk because you feel you have more to gain (1.03)
- \* Accept mistakes as part of the learning process (0.95)


## Academic Self-Concept


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Academic Self-Concept - is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in academic environments.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,013	12,108	40,934	399	5,710	18,869	614	6,398	22,065
Mean	47.4	50.1	51.2	48.5	51.5	52.8	46.7	48.7	49.6
Standard Deviation	8.40	8.08	8.12	8.47	7.94	7.97	8.28	7.98	7.96
Significance	-	***	***	-	***	***	-	***	***
Effect Size	-	-0.33	-0.46	-	-0.38	-0.54	-	-0.26	-0.37
25th percentile	40.5	45.1	46.5	41.5	46.9	47.7	40.3	43.2	44.9
75th percentile	52.0	54.1	57.1	53.9	56.0	59.0	51.5	53.6	53.9

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







## Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Academic ability (3.52)
- \* Mathematical ability (1.32)
- \* Self-confidence intellectual (1.22)
- \* Drive to achieve (0.95)


# Social Self-Concept


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Social Self-Concept - is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in social situations.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,013	12,050	40,721	399	5,675	18,764	614	6,375	21,957
Mean	46.8	48.4	49.2	48.3	49.5	50.5	45.9	47.4	48.1
Standard Deviation	9.15	9.12	9.15	9.00	9.13	9.24	9.13	8.99	8.91
Significance	-	***	***	-	**	***	-	***	***
Effect Size	-	-0.17	-0.26	-	-0.14	-0.24	-	-0.17	-0.25
25th percentile	41.0	43.2	43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6	40.4	41.0	42.3
75th percentile	52.9	54.4	55.8	53.6	55.9	56.6	51.9	53.3	53.6

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Self-confidence social (2.33)
- \* Leadership ability (1.96)
- \* Popularity (1.92)
- \* Public speaking ability (1.68)


## Pluralistic Orientation


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Pluralistic Orientation - measures skills and dispositions appropriate for living and working in a diverse society.

		Total			Men		Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,012	12,244	41,761	398	5,796	19,251	614	6,448	22,510
Mean	48.6	50.1	50.3	49.4	50.2	50.6	48.1	49.9	50.1
Standard Deviation	8.97	8.47	8.37	8.97	8.51	8.40	8.94	8.42	8.33
Significance	-	***	***	-	*	**	-	***	***
Effect Size	-	-0.17	-0.21	-	-0.10	-0.15	-	-0.22	-0.24
25th percentile	42.5	44.8	45.0	44.5	44.8	45.0	42.5	44.5	44.8
75th percentile	55.1	56.4	56.4	55.8	56.4	56.5	54.3	55.9	56.4

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Ability to work cooperatively with diverse people (2.39)
- \* Tolerance of others with different beliefs (2.35)
- \* Openness to having my own views challenged (2.13)
- \* Ability to discuss and negotiate controversial issues (2.03)
- \* Ability to see the world from someone else's perspective (1.78)


# Social Agency


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Social Agency - measures the extent to which students value political and social involvement as a personal goal.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,000	9,640	34,494	394	4,485	15,846	606	5,155	18,648
Mean	48.1	48.3	49.0	47.5	47.3	48.2	48.4	49.2	49.7
Standard Deviation	9.14	8.93	9.00	9.60	9.11	9.18	8.81	8.67	8.77
Significance	-		**	-			-	*	***
Effect Size	-	-0.03	-0.10	-	0.02	-0.07	-	-0.09	-0.15
25th percentile	41.6	42.4	43.0	40.8	41.2	42.0	42.7	43.2	43.9
75th percentile	53.9	54.2	54.5	53.5	53.0	54.0	54.2	55.0	55.3

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following:

- \* Participating in a community action program (2.42)
- \* Helping to promote racial understanding (2.05)
- \* Becoming a community leader (2.01)

- \* Influencing social values (1.58)
- \* Helping others who are in difficulty (1.36)
- \* Keeping up to date with political affairs (1.35)

## 2013 CIRP Freshman Survey


### First-time, Full-time Freshmen


# Civic Engagement CIRP Construct Mean Report


Civic Engagement - measures the extent to which students are motivated and involved in civic, electoral, and political activities.

		Total			Men		Women			
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	
Total (n)	1,020	12,402	42,442	403	5,883	19,548	617	6,519	22,894	
Mean	49.0	49.4	49.9	48.0	48.4	49.0	49.7	50.4	50.7	
Standard Deviation	7.68	7.62	7.73	7.75	7.54	7.76	7.58	7.57	7.61	
Significance	-		***	-		*	-	*	**	
Effect Size	-	-0.05	-0.11	-	-0.05	-0.13	-	-0.10	-0.13	
25th percentile	43.3	44.4	44.4	41.8	43.2	43.8	44.4	45.3	45.7	
75th percentile	54.3	54.3	54.7	53.6	53.1	53.9	54.8	55.1	55.4	

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Indicate activities you did in the past year:

Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following:

<sup>\*</sup>Demonstrated for a cause (e.g., boycott, rally, protest) (1.46)

<sup>\*</sup>Worked on local, state, or national political campaign (1.42)

<sup>\*</sup>Publicly communicated my opinion about a cause (e.g., blog, email, petition) (1.35)

<sup>\*</sup>Helped raise money for a cause or campaign (1.11)

<sup>\*</sup>Performed volunteer work (0.80)

<sup>\*</sup>Influencing social values (0.97)

<sup>\*</sup>Keeping up to date with political affairs (0.86)


## **College Reputation Orientation**


CIRP Construct Mean Report


College Reputation Orientation - measures the degree to which students value academic reputation and future career potential as a reason for choosing this college.

	Total			Men			Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,003	11,245	38,235	397	5,254	17,576	606	5,991	20,659
Mean	43.0	47.2	48.6	42.8	46.8	48.2	43.1	47.5	48.9
Standard Deviation	7.22	7.36	7.41	7.06	7.14	7.28	7.33	7.55	7.52
Significance	-	***	***	-	***	***	-	***	***
Effect Size	-	-0.57	-0.76	-	-0.56	-0.75	-	-0.58	-0.77
25th percentile	36.3	42.7	43.9	34.8	42.7	43.9	36.3	42.8	43.9
75th percentile	47.6	52.7	57.6	47.6	51.4	57.6	48.0	52.9	57.6

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

How important was each reason in your decision to come here?

- \* This college's graduates get good jobs (6.11)
- \* This college's graduates gain admission to top graduate/professional schools (2.50)
- \* This college has a very good academic reputation (1.54)


## Likelihood of College Involvement


**CIRP Construct Mean Report** 


Likelihood of College Involvement - is a unified measure of students' expectations about their involvement in college life generally.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	998	9,070	33,430	392	4,192	15,314	606	4,878	18,116
Mean	48.8	48.6	49.7	47.2	46.7	48.0	49.9	50.4	51.3
Standard Deviation	7.55	7.95	7.87	7.49	7.77	7.76	7.41	7.71	7.64
Significance	-		***	-			-		***
Effect Size	-	0.02	-0.11	-	0.06	-0.10	-	-0.06	-0.18
25th percentile	44.2	43.7	44.5	42.5	41.9	43.2	45.5	45.4	46.2
75th percentile	54.5	54.6	55.1	53.0	52.5	53.7	55.1	56.1	57.1

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

What is your best guess as to the chances that you will:

- \* Participate in student clubs/groups (3.25)
- \* Participate in volunteer or community service work (1.58)
- \* Socialize with someone of another racial/ethnic group (1.28)
- \* Participate in a study abroad program (1.24)
- \* Participate in student government (0.96)



#### How to Read the CIRP Construct Percentage Report


CIRP Constructs are designed to capture the experiences and outcomes institutions are often interested in understanding, but that present a measurement challenge because of their complex and multifaceted nature. To measure these broad underlying areas more precisely, we use Item Response Theory (IRT) to combine individual survey items into global measures that capture these areas. CIRP Constructs are more than a summation of related items; IRT uses response patterns to derive construct score estimates while simultaneously giving greater weight in the estimation process to survey items that tap into the construct more directly. This results in more accurate construct scores. Constructs are particularly useful for benchmarking. They allow you to determine if the experiences and outcomes for your students differ from your comparison groups. Two reports are generated for each CIRP Construct. The Mean Score Report shows comparative information based on the mean score of a construct. The Percentage Report shows comparative information based on the percentage of students who score in the high, average, and low score groups of a construct. We suggest you use the report that best fits your needs as an institution. Both CIRP Construct reports are generated for first-time, full-time freshman, which we define as those respondents who first entered college in 2013 and are currently enrolled full-time.


For more information about IRT and the CIRP Construct development process, see the CIRP Constructs Technical Report at www.heri.ucla.edu


Academic Self-Concept – is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in academic environments.

		Total			Men			Women	
Sample University	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	313	13,401	30,456	153	5,876	13,369	160	7,525	17,087
□ High Academic Self-Concept	30.4%	36.8%	22.6%	32.9%	45.9%	26.3%	28.2%	29.7%	19.4%
Average Academic Self-Concept	46.8%	43.9%	39.5%	49.3%	39.5%	39.2%	44.4%	47.3%	39.7%
Low Academic Self-Concept	22.7%	19.3%	37.9%	17.8%	14.6%	34.4%	27.6%	22.9%	40.9%
Significance (based on High score group)	-	*	***	-	**	***	_		***

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







#### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Rate yourself on each of the following traits as compared with the average person your age:

- \* Academic ability (3.52)
- \* Self-confidence intellectual (1.22)
- \* Drive to achieve (0.95)
- \* Mathematical ability (1.32)

**Survey Items and Estimation "Weights"** – The survey items used in the creation of the CIRP Construct are presented in the order in which they contribute to the construct along with the estimation weights generated in IRT. Items that tap into a trait more effectively are given greater weight in the estimation process.

Charts – CIRP Constructs are scored on a z-score metric and rescaled for a mean of approximately 50 and standard deviation of 10. The Low, Average, and High construct score group percentages are reported here. The "Low" score group represents students who are one-half standard deviation below the mean. The "Average" score group represents students whose scores are within one-half standard deviation of the mean. The "High" score group represents students who are one-half standard deviation or more above the mean.

#### CIRP Construct Definition – Summarizes the theoretical rationale for creating the construct.

Comp 1 – The first comparison group is based on your institution's type, control, and selectivity.

Comp 2 – The second comparison group is based on your institution's type and control.

Statistical Significance – uses a proportional difference test to examine the difference between the percentage of students in the high score group for your institution and the percentage of students in the high score group in the comparison group. Differences larger than what would be expected by chance are noted with one, two, or three stars, which correspond to the three standard levels of significance (\*p<.05, \*\*p<.01, \*\*p<.001). Statistical significance measures the extent to which a difference is occurring by chance, not the extent to which a difference is practically important. Large sample sizes (like those in the comparison groups) tend to generate statistical significance even though the magnitude of the difference might be small and not practically important. Unlike the means scores report, in this case there are no effect size calculations to guide you in determining practical importance when comparing proportional differences.




## **Habits of Mind**


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


Habits of Mind - is a unified measure of the behaviors and traits associated with academic success. These learning behaviors are seen as the foundation for lifelong learning.

	Total			Men			Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,010	12,222	41,649	397	5,785	19,180	613	6,437	22,469
☐ High Habits of Mind	26.8%	27.7%	31.0%	26.2%	28.7%	32.6%	27.2%	26.7%	29.6%
Average Habits of Mind	39.5%	43.6%	43.5%	41.1%	42.8%	42.4%	38.5%	44.4%	44.5%
Low Habits of Mind	33.7%	28.7%	25.5%	32.7%	28.5%	25.1%	34.3%	28.9%	25.9%
Significance (based on High score group)	-			-			-		

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

How often in the past year did you:

- \* Seek solutions to problems and explain them to others (1.99)
- \* Support your opinions with a logical argument (1.74)
- \* Seek alternative solutions to a problem (1.61)
- \* Evaluate the quality or reliability of information you received (1.58)
- \* Explore topics on your own, even though it was not required for a class (1.27)
- \* Seek feedback on your academic work (1.24)

- \* Ask questions in class (1.20)
- \* Look up scientific research articles and resources (1.05)
- \* Revise your papers to improve your writing (1.04)
- \* Take a risk because you feel you have more to gain (1.03)
- \* Accept mistakes as part of the learning process (0.95)


## **Academic Self-Concept**


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


Academic Self-Concept - is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in academic environments.

	Total			Men			Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,013	12,108	40,934	399	5,710	18,869	614	6,398	22,065
□ High Academic Self-Concept	16.1%	24.2%	29.3%	18.8%	29.2%	36.0%	14.3%	19.5%	23.2%
Average Academic Self-Concept	45.2%	51.1%	50.1%	44.9%	50.8%	48.3%	45.4%	51.4%	51.8%
□ Low Academic Self-Concept	38.7%	24.7%	20.6%	36.3%	20.0%	15.7%	40.2%	29.0%	25.1%
Significance (based on High score group)	-	*	***	-	*	**	-		*

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Academic ability (3.52)
- \* Mathematical ability (1.32)
- \* Self-confidence intellectual (1.22)
- \* Drive to achieve (0.95)


## Social Self-Concept


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


Social Self-Concept - is a unified measure of students' beliefs about their abilities and confidence in social situations.

	Total			Men			Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,013	12,050	40,721	399	5,675	18,764	614	6,375	21,957
☐ High Social Self-Concept	18.6%	24.6%	27.4%	23.8%	29.3%	32.8%	15.1%	20.3%	22.6%
Average Social Self-Concept	37.4%	39.3%	39.4%	38.8%	39.3%	38.7%	36.5%	39.2%	40.0%
□ Low Social Self-Concept	44.0%	36.1%	33.2%	37.3%	31.4%	28.5%	48.4%	40.5%	37.4%
Significance (based on High score group)	-		**	-			-		

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Self-confidence social (2.33)
- \* Leadership ability (1.96)
- \* Popularity (1.92)
- \* Public speaking ability (1.68)


## Pluralistic Orientation


CIRP Construct Percentage Report


Pluralistic Orientation - measures skills and dispositions appropriate for living and working in a diverse society.

	Total			Men			Women		
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,012	12,244	41,761	398	5,796	19,251	614	6,448	22,510
☐ High Pluralistic Orientation	25.0%	29.1%	29.7%	27.6%	30.1%	30.9%	23.3%	28.1%	28.7%
<ul> <li>Average Pluralistic Orientation</li> </ul>	42.3%	44.6%	45.2%	46.0%	44.8%	45.3%	39.9%	44.4%	45.1%
□ Low Pluralistic Orientation	32.7%	26.3%	25.1%	26.4%	25.1%	23.8%	36.8%	27.4%	26.3%
Significance (based on High score group)	-			-			-		

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

- \* Ability to work cooperatively with diverse people (2.39)
- \* Tolerance of others with different beliefs (2.35)
- \* Openness to having my own views challenged (2.13)
- \* Ability to discuss and negotiate controversial issues (2.03)
- \* Ability to see the world from someone else's perspective (1.78)


## Social Agency


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


Social Agency - measures the extent to which students value political and social involvement as a personal goal.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,000	9,640	34,494	394	4,485	15,846	606	5,155	18,648
☐ High Social Agency	21.2%	22.1%	24.3%	19.0%	19.0%	22.0%	22.6%	24.9%	26.5%
Average Social Agency	42.5%	42.8%	43.5%	42.9%	42.0%	42.6%	42.2%	43.6%	44.3%
□ Low Social Agency	36.3%	35.1%	32.2%	38.1%	39.0%	35.4%	35.1%	31.6%	29.2%
Significance (based on High score group)	-			ı			1		

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following:

- \* Participating in a community action program (2.42)
- \* Helping to promote racial understanding (2.05)
- \* Becoming a community leader (2.01)

- \* Influencing social values (1.58)
- \* Helping others who are in difficulty (1.36)
- \* Keeping up to date with political affairs (1.35)


# Civic Engagement


# CIRP Construct Percentage Report


Civic Engagement - measures the extent to which students are motivated and involved in civic, electoral, and political activities.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,020	12,402	42,442	403	5,883	19,548	617	6,519	22,894
□ High Civic Engagement             □	21.8%	22.1%	23.8%	19.1%	18.6%	20.7%	23.5%	25.5%	26.6%
Average Civic Engagement	45.6%	48.4%	48.5%	42.4%	46.5%	46.9%	47.6%	50.1%	50.0%
□ Low Civic Engagement	32.6%	29.5%	27.7%	38.5%	34.9%	32.4%	28.8%	24.4%	23.4%
Significance (based on High score group)	-			-			1		

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

Indicate activities you did in the past year:

<sup>\*</sup>Demonstrated for a cause (e.g., boycott, rally, protest) (1.46)

<sup>\*</sup>Worked on local, state, or national political campaign (1.42)

<sup>\*</sup>Publicly communicated my opinion about a cause (e.g., blog, email, petition) (1.35)

<sup>\*</sup>Helped raise money for a cause or campaign (1.11)

<sup>\*</sup>Performed volunteer work (0.80)

<sup>\*</sup>Influencing social values (0.97)

<sup>\*</sup>Keeping up to date with political affairs (0.86)


## **College Reputation Orientation**


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


College Reputation Orientation - measures the degree to which students value academic reputation and future career potential as a reason for choosing this college.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	1,003	11,245	38,235	397	5,254	17,576	606	5,991	20,659
☐ High College Reputation Orientation	7.5%	19.8%	28.3%	5.8%	16.4%	25.2%	8.6%	22.9%	31.1%
Average College Reputation Orientation	28.9%	40.6%	39.4%	30.0%	42.1%	40.6%	28.2%	39.1%	38.3%
Low College Reputation Orientation	63.6%	39.6%	32.4%	64.2%	41.5%	34.2%	63.2%	38.0%	30.7%
Significance (based on High score group)	-	**	***	-		*	-	*	***

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

How important was each reason in your decision to come here?

- \* This college's graduates get good jobs (6.11)
- \* This college's graduates gain admission to top graduate/professional schools (2.50)
- \* This college has a very good academic reputation (1.54)


## **Likelihood of College Involvement**


**CIRP Construct Percentage Report** 


Likelihood of College Involvement - is a unified measure of students' expectations about their involvement in college life generally.

		Total			Men			Women	
University of Hawaii at Manoa	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2	Your Inst	Comp 1	Comp 2
Total (n)	998	9,070	33,430	392	4,192	15,314	606	4,878	18,116
☐ High Likelihood of College Involvement	20.9%	22.1%	27.5%	14.5%	13.8%	18.7%	25.1%	29.6%	35.4%
Average Likelihood of College Involvement	50.8%	46.4%	46.2%	50.5%	46.2%	47.8%	51.0%	46.7%	44.7%
Low Likelihood of College Involvement	28.3%	31.4%	26.4%	34.9%	40.0%	33.5%	23.9%	23.7%	19.9%
Significance (based on High score group)	-		*	-			-		**

Note: Significance \* p<.05, \*\* p<.01, \*\*\* p<.001







### Survey items and estimation 'weights':

What is your best guess as to the chances that you will:

- \* Participate in student clubs/groups (3.25)
- \* Participate in volunteer or community service work (1.58)
- \* Socialize with someone of another racial/ethnic group (1.28)
- \* Participate in a study abroad program (1.24)
- \* Participate in student government (0.96)