PARASITISM IN *PTEROIS VOLITANS* (SCORPAENIDAE) FROM COASTAL WATERS OF PUERTO RICO, THE CAYMAN ISLANDS, AND THE BAHAMAS

Zullaylee Ramos-Ascherl, Ernest H. Williams Jr., Lucy Bunkley-Williams*, Lillian J. Tuttle†, Paul C. Sikkel‡, and Mark A. Hixon§

Department of Marine Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, P.O. Box 9000, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico 00681. Correspondence should be sent to: zullaylee.ramos@upr.edu

ABSTRACT: Recently, *Pterois volitans*, a Pacific species of lionfish, invaded the Atlantic Ocean, likely via the aquarium trade. We examined for internal and external parasites 188 individuals from 8 municipalities of Puerto Rico collected during 2009–2012, 91 individuals from Little Cayman, Cayman Islands, collected during the summers of 2010 and 2011, and 47 individuals from Lee Stocking Island, Bahamas, collected during the summer of 2009. In total, 27 parasite taxa were found, including 3 previously reported species from lionfish, the digenean *Lecithochirium floridense*, the leech *Trachelobdella lubrica*, and an *Excorallana* sp. isopod. We also report another 24 previously unreported parasite taxa from lionfish, including digeneans, monogeneans, cestodes, nematodes, isopods, a copepod, and an acanthocephalan. Among these parasites, several were previously unreported at their respective geographic origins: We report 5 new locality records from Puerto Rico, 9 from Cayman Islands, 5 from the Bahamas, 5 from the Caribbean, and 3 from the subtropical western Atlantic region. Three parasites are reported to associate with a fish host for the first time. The parasite faunas infect carnivorous fishes. Although our study did not assess the impact of parasites on the fitness of invasive lionfish, it provides an important early step. Our results provide valuable comparative data for future studies at these and other sites throughout the lionfish's invaded range.

Sightings of Indo-Pacific lionfishes were first officially reported in Florida in the 1980s, likely from the aquarium trade (Semmens et al., 2004), and 2 decades later, Whitfield et al. (2002) reported established populations off the coast of North Carolina. Since then, they have been reported from Cuba (Chevalier et al., 2008), the Dominican Republic (Guerrero and Franco, 2008), Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Bahamas, Colombia, Venezuela, Mexico, and the northeastern coast of the United States (Whitfield et al., 2002; Ruiz-Carus et al., 2006; Snyder and Burgess, 2006; Lasso-Alcalá and Posada, 2010). Invasive lionfish include 2 scorpaenid species: the red lionfish, Pterois volitans Linnaeus, 1758, and the devil firefish, Pterois miles Bennet, 1928. The former species is native to the Pacific Ocean, and the latter is native to the Indian Ocean and Red Sea (Schultz, 1986), with overlap in the Indo-Pacific coral triangle (Kulbicki et al., 2012). Genetic evidence suggests P. volitans is the only lionfish present in Puerto Rico (C. Lilyestrom, pers. comm.), the Bahamas, and the Caribbean (Freshwater et al., 2009). Pterois miles populations are restricted to the U.S. east coast and Bermuda (Betancur-R et al., 2011).

The strong negative impact of lionfishes on reef communities in their introduced (greater tropical western Atlantic) range (Albins and Hixon, 2008; Green et al., 2012) and their rapid range expansion have stimulated considerable research and debate on the factors that might have facilitated the success of this invasive species, and thus on factors that can potentially regulate their populations (reviews by Albins and Hixon, 2013; Côté et al., 2013). Parasitism is one of multiple factors that can influence the success of an introduced species, with high parasite resistance in the introduced range facilitating population increase (reviews by Hatcher and Dunn, 2011; Côte et al., 2013) and low resistance inhibiting population increase (Torchin and Mitchell, 2004) and/ or affecting host performance (Barber et al., 2000). The susceptibility of introduced species to parasites in the introduced range may also change during the course of an invasion (Torchin et al., 2003; Gendron et al., 2012). A necessary first step in assessing the possible role of parasites in species invasions is to determine the suite of parasites that can infect the invasive species in the introduced range. To date, published studies on parasites of lionfishes have been opportunistic, limited to reports of 1 to several parasites from a small number of specimens. Records of parasites from invasive lionfish in the tropical western Atlantic include a leech attached to the tongue of a male lionfish from Jacksonville, Florida (Ruiz-Carus et al., 2006), which was identified as Trachelobdella lubrica Grube, 1840, after reexamination by Bullard et al. (2011); the trematode Lecithochirium floridense (Manter, 1934) in lionfish stomachs from North Carolina (Bullard et al., 2011); and an isopod from the genus Excorallana from Bonaire (Poole, 2011). Gnathiid isopods were observed infecting lionfish from the Cayman Islands, Virgin Islands, and the Bahamas (Sikkel et al., 2014); however, these were on lionfish held in cages near to heavily infected native fishes, and so these cannot be considered as a record of naturally occurring parasites of invasive P. volitans. Here, we present results from a 4 yr study of the parasites of invasive red lionfish that included a total of 326 samples from 3 different island groups. These include Puerto Rico and Cayman Islands (lionfish appeared in 2008 at both sites) (Schofield, 2009), which have not been previously studied, and the Bahamas, where only Gnathia marlevi Farquharson, Smit & Sikkel, 2012, has been previously reported infecting caged lionfish (Sikkel et al., 2014). This study therefore provides the first comprehensive assessment of parasites from invasive P. volitans from the broader Caribbean region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collected lionfish were identified as *P. volitans* based only on morphology, based on the assumption that *P. miles* is not present in the

Received 24 October 2013; revised 1 August 2014; accepted 26 September 2014.

^{*} Department of Biology, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, P.O. Box 9000, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico 00681.

[†] Department of Integrative Biology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-2914.

[‡] Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, P.O. Box 599, State University, Arkansas 72467.

[§] Department of Biology, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, 2538 McCarthy Mall, Edmondson 216, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96822-2216. DOI: 10.1645/13-422.1

Caribbean, and it is only restricted to the U.S. east coast and Bermuda (Betancur-R et al., 2011).

Puerto Rico sampling

Lionfish were collected monthly between August 2009 and April 2012 at sampling sites in 8 coastal municipalities of Puerto Rico. These sites included El Escambrón Beach, San Juan (18°27'55.1124"N, 66°5'9.6936"W), Juana Díaz (17°58'10.254"N, 66°29'26.0082"W), Buov #2, Cabo Rojo (17°55'40.8174"N, 67°12'53.2758"W), Bahía de Mayagüez, Mayagüez (18°12'45.0642"N, 67°11'0.8952"W), Tres Palmas Reserve, Rincón (18°20'56.223"N, 67°15'55.8612"W), Pico de Piedra, Aguada (18°23'9.9024"N, 7°12'43.167"W), Punta Borinquen, Aguadilla (18°29'43.5768"N, 67°9'37.5834"W), and La Parguera, Lajas (17°57'30.9456"N, 67°3'8.7192"W). Pterois volitans specimens were caught by recreational diver spearing during the day, immediately placed in individual bags, and stored in a cooler with ice for transport to the laboratory. Lionfish were frozen until processing (within 48 hr of collection). Lionfish were thawed, measured (cm), weighed (kg), and thoroughly examined. Gills were removed, and each arch was processed individually by brushing each of them with small forceps in a solution of 90% tap water and 10% filtered saline water. Gastrointestinal tracts were removed and cut longitudinally, in a petri dish with the same water solution, to expose the insides, and small forceps were used to detach stomach contents and any possible parasites present. Sediment was observed under a dissecting microscope. Externally, fish specimens were placed in a tub with water, and scales were brushed against the grain with forceps and squirted with the water solution to dislodge any parasites from the skin. Then, parasites were identified to the lowest possible taxon and counted. Nematodes were relaxed in glacial acetic acid and stored in 70% ethanol. Isopods and the copepod were preserved in 70% ethanol. Monogeneans were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, and digeneans were fixed in either steaming 10% formalin or AFA (85 ml of ethanol 85%, 10 ml of 100% formalin and 5 ml glacial acetic acid). Cestodes and the acanthocephalan were fixed in 10% buffered formalin.

Cayman Islands and Bahamas sampling

Lionfish were collected off Lee Stocking Island, Bahamas (23°46'00"N, 76°06'00"W), daily from 15 June to 1 July 2009, and Little Cayman, Cayman Islands (19°41'56"N, 80°3'38"W), 8 days in the month of August 2010 (August 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 17, 19, 22) and 7 days in the month of August 2011 (August 7, 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, 29). All lionfish were collected during the day by scuba divers using hand nets. Each fish was placed underwater in an individual plastic bag and swum slowly to the surface, where it was placed in a plastic tub filled with seawater for transport back to the laboratory. Once at the laboratory, fish specimens were held in flow-through aquaria with unfiltered seawater until processing. All fish were processed for parasites within 24 hr of capture.

Each fish was humanely sacrificed by placing it on a plastic cutting board and severing the brain with a sharp knife, following animal care and use procedures. The entire fish was then placed in a small tub of filtered seawater, where it was measured, and the entire gut and gills were removed. Processing of guts (stomach and intestines) and gills followed the "gut wash" technique of Cribb and Bray (2010). Guts were cut longitudinally and placed in a 250 ml glass container filled with a 150 ml solution consisting of 75% tap water and 25% filtered seawater. Gill arches were placed in another container with the same solution. Both containers were shaken vigorously for 60 sec, and the contents were allowed to settle. The bottom sediment was then siphoned off into a petri dish; the remainder of the liquid was filtered through 53 µm plankton mesh, and the contents were rinsed into a separate dish and examined for parasites. The remainder of the fish was thoroughly examined for external parasites, including cutting a rectangular patch of scales, 3 cm wide \times 6 cm long, from each side of the fish to sample any parasites living under the scales, and the body cavity was scraped using a scalpel. Parasites were counted and identified to the lowest possible taxon. Digeneans, monogeneans, and cestodes were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, and nematodes and isopods were fixed in 95% ethanol.

Adult and larval parasite stages were found in all study sites (see Table I). To identify all digeneans, a representative of the taxa was dehydrated in a series of ethanol solutions, stained with acetocarmine, and mounted on semi-permanent slides. Monogeneans were mounted unstained in Canada balsam. Cestodes, the acanthocephalan, nematodes, and the copepod were

observed unstained as wet mounts, and isopods were examined whole and unstained under the stereoscope, and high-resolution pictures were taken. Identification of parasites was based on standard keys and primary literature (e.g., Siddiqi and Cable, 1960; Williams and Bunkley-Williams, 1996). Differences in lionfish parasite-collecting techniques from our study areas may have influenced our parasite counts. Voucher specimens of all parasite species found were deposited in the U.S. National Parasite Collection (USNPC), and their accession numbers are provided in Table I.

RESULTS

In total, 188 P. volitans specimens from Puerto Rico (total length [TL] between 4.4 cm and 35.6 cm), 91 from the Cayman Islands (TL = 6.8-34.7 cm), and 47 from the Bahamas (TL = 13.4-29.2 cm) were examined. Twenty-seven parasitic taxa were collected from skin, gills, stomachs, and intestines of lionfishes. Eighteen organisms were identified to species, 8 were identified to genus, and 1 was identified to family. Twenty-four of them were previously unreported parasitizing these fishes. One or more taxa was recovered from 145 of 188 (77.1%), 29 of 91 (31.9%), and 21 of 47 (44.7%) of the fish collected from Puerto Rico, the Cayman Islands, and the Bahamas, respectively. Infection location, prevalences, mean intensities, and localities for each parasite species are detailed in Table II. Samples from Puerto Rico and the Bahamas were predominantly infected by digeneans, whereas the Cayman Islands samples were highly infected by digeneans, monogeneans, and cestodes. The digenean L. floridense was the most abundant trematode species in Puerto Rico, occurring in 74.5% of the fish ($\bar{x} = 13.5$ individuals/infected fish), followed by the nematode Anisakis simplex Rudolphi, 1809 (11.7%, $\bar{x} = 1.36$). Lecithochirium floridense was also the most abundant species in the Cayman Islands (17.6%, $\bar{x} = 2.1$), followed by the cestode Scolex pleuronectis Müller, 1788 (4.4%, $\bar{x} = 10.3$) and the monogenean Udonella caligorum Johnston, 1835 (3.3%, $\bar{x} =$ 7.3). The didymozoids Neotorticaecum sp. Kurochkin and Nikolaeva, 1978, were the most abundant in the Bahamas $(19.2\%, \bar{x} = 3.4)$, followed by L. floridense $(8.5\%, \bar{x} = 1.0)$ and S. pleuronectis (6.4%, $\bar{x} = 2.67$). However, lower prevalences on the Bahamas and the Cayman Islands lionfish could have been an artifact of the open-system aquaria. The possibility of parasite introduction through the water system was unlikely due to the processing time (within 24 hr of collection). Other nematodes, isopods, cestodes, monogeneans, an acanthocephalan, and a leech were also recovered from the 3 localities. Lecithochirium floridense, S. pleuronectis, Neotorticaecum sp., and Raphidascaris sp. Railliet and Henry, 1915, were found at all 3 collection sites; Gnathia sp. Leach, 1814, was only found in Puerto Rico and the Bahamas; and Spirocamallanus species were only found in Puerto Rico and the Cayman Islands (Table II). Five parasites are new locality records for Puerto Rico, 9 for the Cayman Islands, 5 for the Bahamas, 5 for the Caribbean, and 3 for the subtropical western Atlantic region (Table I).

DISCUSSION

There is general agreement that invasive lionfish in the Atlantic are unlikely to be extirpated and that this invader represents a significant source of perturbation of western tropical and subtropical reef communities (e.g., Albins and Hixon, 2008, 2013; Green et al., 2012). Although it is controversial whether predation by Atlantic piscivorous fishes can control invasive lionfish densities (Mumby et al., 2011; Hackerott et al., 2013),

Parasite taxon (group)	New reports	# of hosts	USNPC No.
Acanthocephala			
Paracavisoma chromitidis (Cable and Quick, 1954) (adult)	P. volitans	1	107854
Cestoda			
Otobothrium dipsacum Linton, 1897 (adult and plerocercoids)	bothrium dipsacum Linton, 1897 (adult and plerocercoids) P. volitans		107867
colex pleuronectis Müller, 1788 (plerocercoid) P. volitans		>5	107868
Copepoda			
Chondracanthidae sp. (male) (unknown)	P. volitans	_	_
Digenea			
Bivesicula caribbensis Cable and Nahhas, 1962 (adult)	<i>P. volitans</i> and C.I.	2	107871
Helicometrina nimia Linton, 1910 (adult)	<i>P. volitans</i> and C.I.	20	107874
Lecithochirium floridense (Manter, 1934) Crowcroft, 1946 (adult)	C.I.	>9	107873
Neotorticaecum sp. (metacercaria) Kurochkin and Nikolaeva, 1978 (larva)	P. volitans, P.R., C.I., B.H., Car.,	7	107872
	and the SW Atl.		
Pachycreadium crassigulum (Linton, 1910) Manter, 1954 (adult)	P. volitans and B.H.	2	107875
Stephanostomum sp. Looss, 1899 (metacercaria)	P. volitans	3	107870
Hirudinea			
Trachelobdella lubrica Grube, 1840 (adult)	Not a new record	16	107876
Isopoda			
Aegiochus tenuipes (Schiöedte and Meinert, 1879) (adult)	P. volitans and C.I.	2	107861
Carpias serricaudus (Menzies and Glynn, 1968) Bowman and Morris, 1979 (adult)	P. volitans, C.I., and first record	0	107866
	of association with a fish		
Eurydice convexa Richardson, 1900 (adult)	P. volitans and first record of	0	107863
	association with a fish		
Excorallana quadricornis (Hansen, 1890) (adult)	P. volitans, B.H., and first record	0	107864
	of association with a fish		
Gnathia spp. Leach, 1814 (larva: praniza)	P. volitans	>17	107865
Rocinela signata Schiöedte and Meinert, 1879 (adult)	P. volitans	17	107862
Monogenea			
Gastrocotylinean Post-oncomiracidia Kritsky, Bullard and Bakenhaster, 2011 (larva)	P. volitans, P.R. and Car.	6	107877
Pseudempleurosoma carangis Yamaguti, 1965 (adult)	P. volitans, P.R. and Car.	1	107878
Udonella caligorum Johnston, 1835 (adult)	<i>P. volitans</i> and C.I.	12	107869
Nematoda			
Anisakis simplex Rudolphi, 1809 (larva: L3)	P. volitans	>13	107855
Capillaria sp. Zeder, 1800 (adult)	P. volitans, P.R. and Car.	3	-
Goezia sp. Zeder, 1800 (adult)	P. volitans, B.H. and the SW Atl.	5	107856
Raphidascaris sp. Railliet and Henry, 1915 (adult)	<i>P. volitans</i> , P.R., C.I., B.H., and	10	107857
Spirocamallanus sp. Olsen, 1952 (larva)	possibly Car. and SW Atl. <i>P. volitans</i> and C.I.		107860
Spirocamallanus sp. Olsen, 1932 (larva) Spirocamallanus partitus Bashirullah and Williams, 1980 (adult)	P. volitans and C.I.	- 1	107858
Spirocamallanus partitus basini unan and winnanis, 1980 (adult) Spirocamallanus spinicaudatus Bashirullah and Williams (adult)	P. volitans	1	107858

TABLE I. Parasites infecting *Pterois volitans* and their developmental stage, new reports (Puerto Rico [P.R.], the Cayman Islands [C.I.], the Bahamas [B.H.], the Caribbean [Car.], and/or the subtropical western Atlantic [SW Atl.]); number of previously reported hosts (# of hosts) (see Ramos-Trabal et al., 2013; for *Lecithochirium floridense*, see Bullard et al., 2011), and accession numbers (USNPC No.) of vouchers.

removals by divers offer some hope of at least local control (Barbour et al., 2011; Frazer et al., 2012; León et al., 2013). In any case, invasive lionfish cannot be completely eradicated due to the fact that they occur well below scuba depths (at least 300 m; e.g., Barbour et al., 2011; Albins and Hixon, 2013). Although the lionfish invasion is regrettable, it provides an exceptional opportunity to understand the ecology of host–parasite interactions in general and the role of parasites in species invasions in particular (reviewed in Torchin et al., 2003; Vignon and Sasal, 2010; Dunn et al., 2012; Hatcher et al., 2012). Infections by parasitic organisms remain a possible source of eventual biotic resistance to the lionfish invasion.

In terms of parasitological significance, we report only the second species and second instance of an isopod of the suborder Asollota (*Carpias serricaudus* [Menzies and Glynn, 1968] Bowman and Morris, 1979) (Monod, 1961) to associate with a fish. Before our paper, only 2 species of parasites and 1 genus were known for *P. volitans* in the Atlantic (reviewed in Ramos-Trabal, 2013). We report 27 parasite taxa (Table I). The parasitic faunas of *P. volitans* were quite different across our 3 study islands, with most of the species infecting lionfish being generalists and/or species that infect carnivorous fishes. When comparing the number of species found in common among the 3 island localities, lionfish had 4 species in common among Puerto Rico, the Cayman

TABLE II. Infection location, locality, prevalence (P%), mean intensity (\bar{x} int.), and range of infection of parasite species of the invasive lionfish <i>Pterois</i>
<i>volitans</i> from Puerto Rico (P.R.) ($n = 188$), the Cayman Islands (C.I.) ($n = 91$), and the Bahamas (B.H.) ($n = 47$).

Parasite taxon	Infection area	Locality	Р%	\bar{x} int. (range)
Acanthocephala				
Paracavisoma chromitidis	Stomach	P.R.	0.5	1.00
Cestoda				
Otobothrium dipsacum	Stomach	P.R.	0.5	6.00
Scolex pleuronectis	Stomach	P.R.	2.1	1.00
		C.I.	4.4	10.3 (1-38)
		B.H.	6.4	2.67 (2-4)
Copepoda				
Chondracanthidae sp. (male)	Stomach	P.R.	0.5	1.00
Digenea				
Bivesicula caribbensis	Stomach	C.I.	1.1	1.00
Helicometrina nimia	Stomach	C.I.	2.2	1.00
Lecithochirium floridense	Stomach	P.R.	74.5	13.51 (1-78)
		C.I.	17.6	2.1 (1-7)
	~ .	B.H.	8.5	1.00
Neotorticaecum sp.	Stomach	P.R.	3.7	2.43 (1-4)
		C.I. B.H.	2.2 19.2	1.00
Pachycreadium crassigulum	Stomach	в.н. В.Н.	2.1	3.44 (1–21) 1.00
Stephanostomum sp.	Stomach wall	P.R.	1.6	1.00
Hirudinea		1111	110	100
Trachelobdella lubrica	Gills	P.R.	0.5	1.00
Isopoda	Gins	1.1.	0.0	1.00
Aegiochus tenuipes	Skin	C.I.	1.1	1.00
Carpias serricaudus	Skin	C.I. C.I.	1.1	1.00
Eurydice convexa	Skin	С.I. В.Н.	2.1	1.00
Excorallana quadricornis	Skin	B.H.	2.1	1.00
Gnathia sp.	Gills	P.R.	1.6	1.00
onunnu sp.	Gins	B.H.	2.1	1.00
Rocinela signata	Skin	P.R.	1.6	1.00
Monogenea				
Gastrocotylinean post-oncomiracidia	Gills	P.R.	1.6	1.67 (1-3)
Pseudempleurosoma carangis	Esophagus	P.R.	0.5	1.00
Udonella caligorum	Skin	C.I.	3.3	7.3 (1–19)
Nematoda				
Anisakis simplex	Stomach	P.R.	11.7	1.36 (1-5)
Capillaria sp.	Stomach	P.R.	0.5	1.00
<i>Goezia</i> sp.	Stomach	B.H.	2.1	1.00
Raphidascaris sp.	Stomach	P.R.	2.1	1.00
		C.I.	2.2	1.00
		B.H.	2.1	1.00
Spirocamallanus sp.	Stomach	C.I.	2.2	1.5 (1-2)
Spirocamallanus partitus	Intestine	P.R.	0.5	1.00
Spirocamallanus spinicaudatus	Stomach and intestines	P.R.	1.1	1.00

Islands, and the Bahamas, and only 1 parasite in common between Puerto Rico and the Bahamas (Table II). This pattern suggests that a variety of opportunistic parasites are infecting this invasive fish. This finding also means that many localities must be studied in the future to adequately assess the development of the parasitic fauna of *P. volitans* in the Atlantic.

Kennedy and Bush (1994) observed spatial differences in the parasite community composition of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhyncus mykiss*) introduced to eastern North America, South America, New Zealand, Europe, and different parts of Asia via parasite-free eggs and/or fry. These authors found that the proportion of specialist parasites in rainbow trout declined with increasing distance from the native range and an increasing proportion of generalists, presumably acquired through relatively unrelated sympatric host species. In our case, invasive lionfishes was first reported from Florida, North and South Carolina, and Georgia (Whitfield et al., 2002; Hare and Whitfield, 2003). Although *L. floridense* is not a specialist species, Bullard et al.

(2011) observed a prevalence of 100% of this hemiurid in the 22 lionfish they sampled from North Carolina. However, we found prevalences of L. floridense to be 74.5% in Puerto Rico (n = 188), 17.6% in the Cayman Islands (n = 91), and 8.5% in the Bahamas (n = 47). Our lower prevalences could be due to differences in sampling effort, differences in local abundance of the parasite, and/or competition among a more diverse community of intestinal parasites at our sites as compared to those of North Carolina. Lecithochirium floridense infects the Atlantic scorpionfish (Scorpaena plumieri) as well as unrelated fishes in the Caribbean (*Caranx hippos* [crevelle jack], *Sphyraena barracuda* [great barracuda]) (Williams and Bunkley-Williams, 1996) (Epinephelus guttatus [red hind], Epinephelus morio [red grouper], Epinephelus striatus [Nassau grouper], Mycteroperca venenosa [yellowfin grouper], Mycteroperca microlepis [Gag], Abudefduf saxatilis [sergeant major]) (Bullard et al., 2011).

The cestode *S. pleuronectis* was also relatively common at the 3 study sites. In great quantities, this cestode blocks the bile duct or the gall bladder of its host (Williams and Bunkley-Williams, 1996) and has been associated with the death of *Epinephelus guttatus* (red hind) held in cages in Puerto Rico, where the fish were fed a diet of raw fish scraps. A similar problem was reported in cage-cultured fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico, when infection intensities ranged from 1 to 9,000 individuals (Williams and Bunkley-Williams, 1996). The voracious appetite of *P. volitans* may result in high accumulation of this trophically transmitted parasite. However, we have seen intensities only ranging from 1 to 38 thus far (Table II), i.e., much lower than the intensities reported by Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996).

Udonella caligorum had the third highest prevalence in the Cayman Islands, although it is not significantly high (Table II). Several other lionfish (not used for this assessment) were highly contaminated with this monogenean, but this was most likely a result of sharing a holding tank with a native squirrelfish superinfected with U. caligorum (>3,800 parasites on the squirrelfish). This finding suggests that this worm has the capacity to switch hosts in a short period of time. This monogenean is a commensal of crustacean parasites of fishes and a parasite of fishes. It is known to damage the gill tissue of cultured fishes and facilitates Trichodina spp. (Protozoa) infections (Freeman and Ogawa, 2010). The other parasites we report occurred rarely and thus would appear to have limited potential to regulate P. volitans populations at our study sites at this time. However, some of these may become more influential in the future and/or may be influential at other sites in the invaded range. For example, gnathiid species can cause death to highly infected hosts (Davies and Smit, 2001; Davies et al., 2004; Curtis et al., 2013) and transmit blood parasitic protozoans (Mugridge and Stallybrass, 1983; Grutter et al., 2008; Penfold et al., 2008), among other diseases (Jones and Grutter, 2005). However, Sikkel et al. (2014) did not find high prevalences of gnathiids on caged lionfish from the Bahamas.

To make more ecologically relevant comparisons of parasite communities infecting lionfish across their invaded range, future studies should investigate the parasite communities of ecologically similar, native fishes. This will give us insight into the availability of parasites at different localities and how the intensity of parasite infestation might compare between lionfish and native reef carnivores. Furthermore, we know very little about the parasites infecting *P. volitans* in their native IndoPacific region: Only 2 digeneans (Nagaty and Abdel-Aal, 1962; Durio and Manter, 1968; Hassanine, 2006), 2 monogenoids (Paperna, 1972; Ogawa et al., 1995), 2 leeches (Paperna, 1976), and 1 copepod (Dojiri and Ho, 1988) have been documented. A more comprehensive study of lionfish parasites in the Indo-Pacific will not only reveal the degree to which these fishes are susceptible to parasitism, but also whether or not lionfish have introduced any new parasites to the Atlantic region. Although there have not been reports of introduced Indo-Pacific parasites, it does not mean that microparasites might not have hitchhiked in lionfish. So far, only macroparasites have been studied infecting these fishes, except for Anderson et al. (2010), who reported a blood parasite infecting lionfish: however this species has not been proven to be from the Pacific. Even with the introduction of a foreign parasite species, unless there is a suitable host to complete the cycle, the parasite may not become established (Torchin et al., 2003).

The few infections observed during the course of this study may have been a result of the recent presence of lionfish in the Atlantic (Torchin et al., 2003) or due to a highly resistant immune system (reviewed in Hatcher and Dunn, 2011; Côté et al., 2013). Innate and adaptive immune responses help fish control parasite infections (Torchin et al., 2003; Alvarez-Pellitero, 2008). So far, few parasite species are known to infect lionfish in the Pacific (Nagaty and Abdel-Aal, 1962; Durio and Manter, 1968; Paperna, 1972, 1976; Dijiri and Ho, 1988; Ogawa et al., 1995; Hassanine, 2006), probably due to successful immune responses in these fishes even in their native range. Environmental factors (e.g., stress, overpopulation) may benefit parasitic infections in fish (Woo, 1992), and it could be possible that overcrowding of P. volitans in their invaded range may be allowing parasites to affect their immunity, thus increasing the number of infections (27 parasite species from our study as compared to previous studies, which report 1 species each). High parasite infections can regulate fish populations by delaying growth and affecting reproductive performance (Barber et al., 2000; Finley and Forrester, 2003; Schultz et al., 2006; Ravichandran et al., 2009). If developing parasite fauna in lionfish keeps increasing, parasite population may control lionfish population in the invaded range. Although our study did not assess the impact of parasites on the fitness of invasive lionfish, it provides an important initial step. Monitoring the development of parasite infestations in this alien host should continue throughout its invaded range and over time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Noemí Peña and Grisel Rodríguez-Ferrer from the Laboratorio Pesquero of the Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) and Andrés Maldonado for providing some of the samples; Dr. Craig Lilyestrom, director of the Marine Resources Division of the DNER, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, for lionfish information; Dr. Delane C. Kritsky of the Department of Health and Nutrition Sciences, Campus Box 8090, Idaho University, Pocatello, Idaho, and Dr. Omayra Hernández-Vale of the Department of Biology, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, for their assistance in parasite identification; Dr. George Benz from the Department of Biology at Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, Tennessee, for copepod identification; Al Dove of the Georgia Aquarium for introducing us to the gut wash technique and for preliminary identification of Bahamas parasites; and Eric P. Hoberg, U.S. National Parasite Collection, USDA, ARS, Beltsville, Maryland, for deposition of specimens. This research was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship to L. J. Tuttle, and National Science Foundation research grants OCE-08-51162 and OCE-12-33027 to M. A. Hixon.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALBINS, M. A., AND M. A. HIXON. 2008. Invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* reduce recruitment of Atlantic coral-reef fishes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 367: 233–238.
- _____, AND _____. 2013. Worst case scenario: Potential long-term effects of invasive predatory lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) on Atlantic and Caribbean coral-reef communities. Environmental Biology of Fishes 96: 1151–1157.
- ALVAREZ-PELLITERO, P. 2008. Fish immunity and parasite infections: From innate immunity to immunoprophylactic prospects. Veterinarian Immunology and Immunopathology 126: 171–198.
- ANDERSON, E. T., M. K. STOSKOPF, J. A. MORRIS JR., E. O. CLARKE, AND C. A. HARMS. 2010. Hematology, plasma biochemistry, and tissue enzyme activities of invasive red lionfish captured off North Carolina, USA. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 22: 266–273.
- BARBER, I., D. HOARE, AND J. KRAUSE. 2000. Effects of parasites on fish behaviour: A review and evolutionary perspective. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 10: 131–165.
- BARBOUR, A. B., M. S. ALLEN, T. K. FRAZER, AND K. D. SHERMAN. 2011. Evaluating potential efficacy of invasive lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) removals. PLOS One 6: 1–7.
- BETANCUR-R, R., A. HINES, A. ACERO, G. ORTÍ, A. E. WILBUR, AND D. W. FRESHWATER. 2011. Reconstructing the lionfish invasion: Insights into greater Caribbean biogeography. Journal of Biogeography 38: 1281– 1293.
- BULLARD, S. A., A. M. BARSE, S. S. CURAN, AND J. A. MORRIS JR. 2011. First record of a digenean from invasive lionfish, *Pterois* cf. volitans (Scorpaeniformes: Scorpaenidae) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Parasitology **97**: 833–837.
- CHEVALIER, P. P., E. GUTIÉRREZ, D. IBARZABAL, S. ROMERO, V. ISLA, J. CALDERÍN, AND E. HERNÁNDEZ. 2008. Primer registro de *Pterois* volitans (Pisces: Scorpaenidae) para aguas cubanas. Solenodon 7: 37– 40.
- Côté, I. M., S. J. GREEN, AND M. A. HIXON. 2013. Predatory fish invaders: Insights from Indo-Pacific lionfish in the western Atlantic and Caribbean. Biological Conservation 164: 50–61.
- CRIBB, T. H., AND R. A. BRAY. 2010. Gut wash, body soak, blender and heat-fixation: Approaches to the effective collection, fixation and preservation of trematodes of fishes. Systematic Parasitology 76: 1–7.
- CURTIS, L. M., A. S. GRUTTER, N. J. SMIT, AND A. J. DAVIES. 2013. Gnathia aureamaculosa, a likely definite host of Haemogregarina balistapi and potential vector of Haemogregarina bigemina between fishes of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. International Journal for Parasitology 43: 361–370.
- DAVIES, A. J., AND N. J. SMIT. 2001. The life cycle of *Hemogregarina bigemina* (Adeleina: Haemogregarinidae) in South African hosts. Folia Parasitologica 48: 169–177.
 - —, —, AND P. M. HAYES. 2004. *Haemogregarina bigemina* (Protozoa: Apicomplexa: Adeleorina)—Past, present and future. Folia Parasitologica **51**: 99–108.
- DOJIRI, M., AND J. S. Ho. 1988. Two species of Acanthocondria (Copepoda, Poecilostomatoida) parasitic of fishes of Japan. Report of the Sado Marine Biological Station, Biigata University 18: 47–56.
- DUNN, A. M., M. E. TORCHIN, M. J. HATCHER, P. M. KOTANEN, D. M. BLUMENTHAL, J. E. BYERS, C. A. C. COON, V. M. FRANKEL, R. D. HOLT, R. A. HUFBAUER, ET AL. 2012. Indirect effect of parasites in invasions. Functional Ecology 26: 1262–1274.
- DURIO, W. O., AND H. W. MANTER. 1968. Some digenetic trematodes of marine fishes of New Caledonia. Part I. Bucephalidae, Monorchiidae, and some smaller families. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 35: 143–153.
- FINLEY, R. J., AND G. E. FORRESTER. 2003. Impact of ectoparasites on the demography of a small reef fish. Marine Ecology Progress Series 248: 305–309.
- FRAZER, T. K., C. A. JACOBY, M. A. EDWARDS, S. C. BARRY, AND C. M. MANFRINO. 2012. Coping with the lionfish invasion: Can targeted removals yield beneficial effects? Reviews in Fisheries Science 20: 185–191.

- FREEMAN, M. A., AND K. OGAWA. 2010. Variation in the small subunit ribosomal DNA confirms that *Udonella* (Monogenea: Udonellidae) is a species-rich group. International Journal for Parasitology 40: 255– 264.
- FRESHWATER, D. W., A. HINES, S. PARHAM, A. WILBUR, M. SABAOUN, J. WOODHEAD, L. AKINS, B. PURDY, P. E. WHITFIELD, AND C. B. PARIS. 2009. Mitochondrial control region sequence analyses indicate dispersal from the US east coast as the source of the invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* in the Bahamas. Marine Biology **156**: 1213–1221.
- GENDRON, A. D., D. J. MARCOGLIESE, AND M. THOMAS. 2012. Invasive species are less parasitized than native competitors, but for how long? The case of the round goby in the Great Lakes–St. Lawrence Basin. Biological Invasions 14: 367–384.
- GREEN, S. J., J. L. AKINS, A. MALJKOVIĆ, AND I. M. COTÉ. 2012. Invasive lionfish drive Atlantic coral reef fish declines. PLOS One 7: 1–3.
- GRUTTER, A. S., J. L. PICKERING, H. MCCALLUM, AND M. I. MCCORMICK. 2008. Impact of micropredatory gnathiid isopods on young coral reef fishes. Coral Reefs 27: 655–661.
- GUERRERO, K., AND A. FRANCO. 2008. First record of the Indo-Pacific red lionfish *Pterois volitans* (Linnaeus, 1758) for the Dominican Republic. Aquatic Invasions 3: 267–268.
- HACKEROTT, S., A. VALDIVIA, S. J. GREEN, I. M. CÔTE, C. E. COX, L. AKINS, C. A. LAYMAN, W. F. PREECHT, AND J. F. BRUNO. 2013. Native predators do not influence invasion success of Pacific lionfish on Caribbean reefs. PLOS One 8: 1–10.
- HARE, J. A., AND P. E. WHITFIELD. 2003. An integrated assessment of the introduction of lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles* complex) to the western Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOSNC-COS, 21 p.
- HASSANINE, R. M. EL-S. 2006. Acanthocephalans from Red Sea fishes. Family Cavisomidae Meyer, 1932: The seasonal cycle of *Diplosentis nudus* (Harada, 1938) Pichelin et Cribb, 2001 in a definitive fish host, and a comment on *Sclerocollum* Schmidt et Paperna, 1978. Acta Parasitologica **51**: 123–129.
- HATCHER, M. J., J. T. A. DICK, AND A. M. DUNN. 2012. Disease emergence and invasions. Functional Ecology 26: 1275–1287.
- —, AND A. M. DUNN. 2011. Parasites in ecological communities, 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., Cambridge Books Online. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511987359. Accessed 23 October 2013.
- JONES, C., AND A. S. GRUTTER. 2005. Parasitic isopods (*Gnathia* sp.) reduce hematocrit in captive blackeye thicklip (Labridae) on the Great Barrier Reef. Journal of Fish Biology **66**: 860–864.
- KENNEDY, C. R., AND A. O. BUSH. 1994. The relationship between pattern and scale in parasite communities: A stranger in a strange land. Parasitology 109: 187–196.
- KULBICKI, M., J. BEETS, P. CHABANET, K. CURE, E. DARLING, S. R. FLOETER, R. GALZIN, A. GREEN, M. HARMELIN-VIVIEN, M. HIXON, ET AL. 2012. Distributions of Indo-Pacific lionfishes *Pterois* spp. in their naïve ranges: Implications for the Atlantic invasion. Marine Ecology Progress Series **446**: 189–205.
- LASSO-ALCALÁ, O., AND J. POSADA. 2010. Presence of the invasive red lionfish, *Pterois volitans* (Linnaeus, 1758), on the coast of Venezuela, southeastern Caribbean Sea. Aquatic Invasions 5: 53–59.
- LEÓN, R., K. VANE, P. BERTUOL, V. C. CHAMBERLAND, F. SIMAL, E. IMMS, AND M. J. A. VERMEIJ. 2013. Effectiveness of lionfish removal efforts in the southern Caribbean. Endangered Species Research 22: 175– 182.
- MONOD, T. H. 1961. Sur un isopode Asellote genre *Bagatus recuelli* sur un poison du Senegal. Crustaceana **2:** 68–77.
- MUGRIDGE, R., AND H. STALLYBRASS. 1983. A mortality of eels, *Anguilla* L., attributed to Gnathiidae. Journal of Fish Diseases **6:** 81–82.
- MUMBY, P. J., A. R. HARBORNE, AND D. R. BRUMBAUGH. 2011. Grouper as natural biocontrol of invasive lionfish. PLOS One 6: 1–4.
- NAGATY, H. F., AND T. M. ABDEL-AAL. 1962. Trematodes of fishes from the Red Sea. Part 15. Four new species of *Hamacreadium* Family Allocreadiidae. Journal of Parasitology 48: 384–386.
- OGAWA, K., M. G. BONDAD-REANTASO, AND H. WAKABAYASHI. 1995. Redescription of *Benedenia epinepheli* (Yamaguti, 1937) Meserve, 1938 (Monogenea: Capsalidae) from cultured and aquarium fishes of Japan. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 52(Suppl.): 62–72.

- PAPERNA, I. 1972. Monogenea of Red Sea fishes. III. Dactylogyridae from littoral and reef fishes. Journal of Helminthology 46: 47–62.
- 1976. Parasitological survey of fishes of the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean. In Fifth report of the H. Steinitz Marine Biology Laboratory, Z. Reiss and I. Paperna (eds.). H. Steinitz Marine Biology Laboratory, Elat, Israel, 69 p.
- PENFOLD, R., A. S. GRUTTER, A. M. CURTIS, M. I. MCCORMICK, AND C. M. JONES. 2008. Interactions between juvenile marine fish and gnathiid isopods: Predation versus micropredation. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 357: 111–119.
- POOLE, T. 2011. The sensitivity of the invasive lionfish, *Pterois volitans*, to parasitism in Bonaire, Dutch Caribbean. Physis (CIEE Research Station Bonaire Tropical Marine Ecology and Conservation Project) 9: 44–49.
- RAMOS-TRABAL, Z. 2013. Parasitism in *Pterois volitans* (Scorpaenidae) from coastal waters of Puerto Rico, Cayman Islands and Bahamas. M.S. Thesis. University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico, 99 p.
- RAVICHANDRAN, S., G. RAMESHKUMAR, AND K. KUMARAVEL. 2009. Variation in the morphological features of isopod fish parasites. World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences 1: 137–140.
- RUIZ-CARUS, R., R. E. MATHESON, D. E. ROBERTS, AND P. E. WHITFIELD. 2006. The western Pacific red lionfish, *Pterois volitans* (Scorpaenidae), in Florida: Evidence for reproduction and parasitism in the first exotic marine fish established in state waters. Biological Conservation 128: 384–390.
- SCHOFIELD, P. J. 2009. Geographic extent and chronology of the invasion of non-native lionfish (*Pterois volitans* [Linnaeus 1758] and *P. miles* [Bennett 1828]) in the western North Atlantic and Caribbean Sea. Aquatic Invasions **4**: 473–479.
- SCHULTZ, E. T. 1986. Pterois volitans and Pterois miles: Two valid species. Copeia 5: 686–690.
- —, M. TOPPER, AND D. C. HEINS. 2006. Decreased reproductive investment of female threespine stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*

infected with the cestode *Schistocephalus solidus*: Parasite adaptation, host adaptation, or side effect? Oikos **114**: 303–310.

- SEMMENS, B. X., E. R. BUHLE, A. K. SALOMON, AND C. V. PATTENGILL-SEMMENS. 2004. A hotspot of non-native marine fishes: Evidence for the aquarium trade as an invasion pathway. Marine Ecology Progress Series 266: 239–244.
- SIDDIQI, A. H., AND R. M. CABLE. 1960. Digenetic trematodes of marine fishes of Puerto Rico. New York Academy of Sciences 17: 257–369.
- SIKKEL, P. C., L. J. TUTTLE, K. CURE, A. M. COILE, AND M. A. HIXON. 2014. Low suceptibility of invasive red lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) to a generalist ectoparasite in both its introduced and native ranges. PLOS One 9: 5.
- SNYDER, D. B., AND G. H. BURGESS. 2006. The Indo-Pacific red lionfish, *Pterois volitans* (Pisces: Scorpaenidae), new to Bahamian ichthyofauna. Coral Reefs 26: 1.
- TORCHIN, M. E., K. D. LAFFERTY, A. P. DOBSON, V. J. MCKENZIE, AND A. M. KURIS. 2003. Introduced species and their missing parasites. Nature 421: 628–630.
- ——, AND C. E. MITCHELL. 2004. Parasites, pathogens, and invasions by plants and animals. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2: 183–190.
- VIGNON, M., AND P. SASAL. 2010. Fish introduction and parasites in marine ecosystems: A need for information. Environmental Biology of Fishes 87: 1–8.
- WHITFIELD, P. E., T. GARDNER, S. P. VIVES, M. R. GILLIGAN, W. R. J. COURTENAY, G. CARLETON RAY, AND J. A. HARE. 2002. Biological invasion of the Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* along the Atlantic coast of North America. Marine Ecology 235: 289–297.
- WILLIAMS, E. H. J., AND L. BUNKLEY-WILLIAMS. 1996. Parasites of big game fishes of Puerto Rico and the western Atlantic. Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico, U.S.A., 382 p.
- Woo, P. T. K. 1992. Immunological responses of fish to parasitic organisms. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 2: 339–366.