

COURSE-Based Focus Proposal Form

Spring 2025

Department Chair or Course Coordinator completes this form to request or renew a Focus designation(s) for a course that inherently meets the Hallmarks. Approval of a course-based request means that *all sections* of the course will be designated with the approved Focus for the duration of the approval period (3 years for new requests, 5 years for renewals), regardless of who the instructor is. Approved course-based Focus *cannot* be removed for individual sections or terms.

DEADLINE: FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2024*

Subject: Course #: Title: # of credits:

IMPORTANT: Foundations courses and graduate courses are not eligible for Focus designations.

Cross-listed course(s), if any (if none, write "n/a"): _____ *NOTE: Dept Chair's signature required below.*

Does the course have an honors counterpart? Yes No

Course is being offered (check all that apply): via Outreach Extension online or [hybrid](#)

<p>FOCUS AREA(S) – check all that apply:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 60%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"><u>NEW</u>¹</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"><u>RENEWAL</u>²</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"><u>EXISTING</u>³</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Contemporary Ethical Issues (pp. 4-9)</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hawaiian, Asian & Pacific Issues (pp. 10-13)</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Oral Communication (pp. 14-19)</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Writing Intensive (pp. 20-26)</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>¹NEW: Dept has not had Course-Based approval for the course & Focus before ²RENEWAL: Course-Based approval has expired or will expire before next term ³EXISTING: Current Course-Based approval is not yet due to expire If unsure, check the Focus Status List.</p>		<u>NEW</u> ¹	<u>RENEWAL</u> ²	<u>EXISTING</u> ³	Contemporary Ethical Issues (pp. 4-9)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hawaiian, Asian & Pacific Issues (pp. 10-13)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Oral Communication (pp. 14-19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Writing Intensive (pp. 20-26)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>NOTE: Multiple designations require:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An integrated syllabus that shows how all checked Focus areas will be addressed; 2. Course information (see pp. 4-26) that clarifies how all Focus areas will be integrated and fulfilled, <i>including existing approvals</i>; 3. Submission by the September 13 deadline if the course will have a total of <u>three or four</u> Focus designations.
	<u>NEW</u> ¹	<u>RENEWAL</u> ²	<u>EXISTING</u> ³																		
Contemporary Ethical Issues (pp. 4-9)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
Hawaiian, Asian & Pacific Issues (pp. 10-13)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
Oral Communication (pp. 14-19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
Writing Intensive (pp. 20-26)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		

<p>As Faculty Course Coordinator and Department Chair, we understand that we are responsible for</p> <p>a) informing all instructors of the course's Focus designation(s), b) collecting/reviewing all course syllabi each semester to ensure that all sections meet the Focus Hallmarks, and c) providing information regarding the course-based designation to the Gen Ed Office/Gen Ed Committee as requested.</p>		
Faculty Course Coordinator's printed name:	Signature:	
Faculty Course Coordinator's email address:	Phone #:	Date:
Department Chair's printed name:	Signature:	
Department Chair's email address:	Phone #:	Date:
Dept contact's name (for Focus):	Email address:	Phone #:

(complete if the course is crosslisted)

Crosslisted Dept Chair's printed name:	Signature:	
Crosslisted Dept Chair's email address:	Phone #:	Date:
Crosslisted Dept Chair's printed name:	Signature:	
Crosslisted Dept Chair's email address:	Phone #:	Date:

FOR ALL FOCUS REQUESTS, PLEASE SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING:

- Page 1 with signatures** of the [Faculty Course Coordinator](#) and Department Head (and Crosslisted Department Head(s), if applicable)
- Page 3 with current course description from the [UHM Catalog](#)** and a proposed revision that reflects the Focus area(s) being requested (if not already reflected in the current description), and answers to questions for Renewals and Existing Approvals (if applicable)
- Pages 4-26, as applicable, with the information for each Focus designation being requested**, such as answers to Focus questions (all), completed chart (E, O, W), assessment tool (O), and annotated bibliography (HAP)
- Master syllabus** (marked "MASTER") that all instructors will be expected to follow and/or build upon
OR
up to five representative syllabi (one from each department if the course is crosslisted) that demonstrate different ways in which the course has been/will be taught.
ALL syllabi should be highlighted and marked to show how the course meets each of the [Hallmarks and Learning Objectives](#) of the Focus area(s) being requested. For example, if proposing for a Contemporary Ethical Issues (E) Focus, highlight (and annotate, if needed) sections that address the E Hallmarks (E1-E6) and E Learning Objectives (ELO1-ELO4) by marking them with "E1," "E2," "E3," etc. and "ELO1," "ELO2," "ELO3," etc. [Click here for an example](#).

Email all information/materials, preferably as a single file, to the General Education Office (gened@hawaii.edu) by FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2024.* Faculty Board(s) will review the submission and contact proposers if clarification or additional information is needed. Decisions will be sent out via email.

Notes about COURSE-Based Focus Designations

- **The [Faculty Course Coordinator](#) should use the approved syllabus as a reference** when reviewing new course syllabi to make sure new sections of the course meet the Hallmarks and student learning objectives of each approved Focus designation.
- **Crosslisted courses.** Only one Course Coordinator is required, even when the course is crosslisted. However, the signature of the Chair of the crosslisted department is required, as is a representative syllabus from each department if the course doesn't have a master syllabus.
- **Foundations courses and graduate courses are NOT eligible** for Focus designations. Undergraduates who want to use a graduate level course to fulfill a Focus requirement should look at the [Focus Exemption application form](#) to see if they qualify.
- **Exceptions.** The only courses that may be submitted for instructor-based approval for individual course sections are
 - [399 and 499 courses](#) that are taught as a directed reading/research course and tailored to a specific student.
 - [Variable topics courses](#) where the course content changes significantly from semester to semester.
 - [Study Abroad courses](#) that are taught by Manoa faculty and meet the Hallmarks of the requested Focus.

For more details and/or to request a Focus designation for one of these types of courses, please visit the ["Proposal Forms" page of the Gen Ed website](#).

*Proposals whose approval will result in three or four designations must be submitted by the September 13 deadline. Proposals whose approval results in one or two Focus designations may be submitted as late as October 11. However, priority will be given to proposals submitted by the September 13 deadline. Proposals submitted between September 14 and October 11 will be reviewed by the Boards thereafter, with the understanding that there may not be time for the negotiation that is sometimes necessary to secure approval. Proposals for Spring 2025 will not be accepted after October 11 except under extraordinary circumstances.

REQUIRED INFORMATION

1. Please provide the **current course description** from the [2024-25 UHM Catalog](#):

2. Provide a **proposed revision** of the course description** if the existing description does not reflect the inherency of the requested Focus (e.g., add "emphasis on teaching writing/oral communication"). The entire course description should be no more than 35 words (not counting prerequisites, class standing/major restrictions, grading options, etc.). [Click here for more information and examples](#).

** Upon approval of the Focus request, the General Education Office will generate a UHM-2 form to modify the course description as proposed above and will send the form to the department to sign and route for additional signatures.

For renewals and existing approvals only

3. During the last approval period, who was the person who ensured that all assigned instructors taught the course in accordance with the approved Focus Hallmarks (i.e., the Course Coordinator)? For example, "John Smith, AY 2018 and 2019; Jane Jones, AY 2020."

4. How has the Course Coordinator assured that individual instructors' syllabi comply with the Focus Hallmarks?

5. Please describe any changes that have been made to the course or to some individual sections (e.g., course delivery modes, activities, course practices, Focus-related content). If none, put "n/a."

CONTEMPORARY ETHICAL ISSUES (E OR ETH)

Learning Objectives

Students will be able to:

- ELO1. Identify ethical issues within a given discipline or context;
- ELO2. Use tools/processes/frameworks to deliberate on ethical issues;
- ELO3. Express an ethical judgment that informs thinking and actions;
- ELO4. Critique and evaluate their own thinking, behavior and ethical identity using ethical tools and reasoning practices.

Hallmarks

- E1. Contemporary ethical issues will be presented and studied in a manner that is fully integrated into the main course content.
- E2. The disciplinary approach(es) used in the class will give students tools for the development of responsible deliberation and ethical judgment.
- E3. Students will achieve basic competency in analyzing and deliberating upon contemporary ethical issues to help them make ethically determined judgments.
- E4. The equivalent of one semester credit-hour or 30% of a three-credit course will be devoted to contemporary ethical issues.
- E5. A minimum of 8 hours of class time will be spent in discussing contemporary ethical issues.
- E6. The class will be numbered at the 300- or 400-level.

Explanatory Notes

- *The goal of E courses, at least in part, must be to equip students with some degree of proficiency in ethical deliberation. These courses should not be purely descriptive, merely characterizing, for example, the moral beliefs of person or peoples. Nor is it intended that the pedagogy be value-free, using approaches that maintain an "arms-length" relationship with current ethical issues.*
- *Course materials must be pertinent to the ethical issues under review. While well-selected philosophical and literary texts would serve, so would case studies, judicial opinions, statutes, codes of ethics (and commentaries), films, works of art, performances, as well as a broad range of other readings.*
- *Different academic approaches and methodologies can be used to give students tools for the development of responsible ethical judgments. Approaches might include small group discussions, formal debate, round-table discussions, Socratic questioning, etc.*
- *E courses may be associated with particular disciplines, professions, and larger enterprises (e.g., the ethics of human and animal research, medical ethics, bioethics, biotechnology, business ethics, engineering ethics, ethics in government, and journalistic ethics). Still others might look at ethical issues that emerge at cultural interfaces, such as war, evangelism, colonialism and multi-cultural societies, etc. Contemporary ethical issues must be fully integrated into the main course content and must be tied to activities that develop students' proficiency in forming sound ethical judgments.*

To Request or Renew an E Designation:

Please provide ALL of the information requested below. The E Focus Board will contact you for clarification if necessary. [Click here to view examples of exemplary E Focus proposals.](#)

1. **What are the ethical issues addressed in this course? Please provide a clear and concise explanation of the ethical topics that are covered in this course from the perspective of someone who is not an expert in your field.** [Click here for an example.](#)

2. **What ethical decision-making framework(s), professional code of ethics, or other ethics framework will students learn in your course? Please check all that apply.** *For teaching resources, see <https://manoa.hawaii.edu/gened/resources/tr/e/>.* [Click here for examples.](#)

- Markkula
- James Madison 8 Key Questions
- Professional Ethics (Name & Link): _____
- Indigenous/Cultural Ethical Framework (Name & Link): _____
- Other (Name & Link): _____

Please add an explanation of why you are implementing that chosen framework:

3. Fill in the chart below, describing assignments and class activities that are designed to help students achieve competency in analyzing and deliberating upon contemporary ethical issues and help them make ethically determined judgments. [Click here for examples of a completed chart.](#) All information provided on this chart should also appear on your syllabus, which should be marked to expedite Board review (e.g., "E1,""ELO1"). [Click here for examples of a marked syllabus.](#) Please ensure that the name of the activity matches the name used in the syllabus. Please use as much space as needed to elaborate on your answers. The Board will contact you if clarification or additional information is needed.

Name and brief description of activity	Which learning objective(s) (ELO1-ELO4) is the activity/assignment intended to address?*	Describe how the activity will help students to achieve the ELOs .	Page number(s) in which the activity/assignment is listed on the syllabus.
1.			
2.			
3.			

4.			
5.			
6.			

* [Hallmarks E4](#) and [E5](#) state that the equivalent of one semester credit-hour or 30% of a three-credit course will be devoted to contemporary ethical issues and a minimum of 8 hours of class time will be spent in discussing contemporary ethical issues; [Hallmark E6](#) states that the course will be offered at the 300- or 400-level.

4. **Hallmark E3** states that ethics-designated courses result in students who can show a basic competency in ethical deliberations. How will you determine if students have met this goal? Check all that apply, or describe your approach to this assessment ([click here for examples](#)):

- AACU Ethical Value Reasoning Rubric (<https://manoa.hawaii.edu/gened/wp-content/uploads/Ethical-Reasoning.pdf>)
- Rubric designed for course - instructor should attach rubric to this application
- Through multiple class discussions/assignments, instructor will evaluate each student's ethical reasoning ability and their progress and proficiency
- Test/Quiz
- Other assessment tool - describe:

5. **Attach a course syllabus that**

- **is highlighted and marked.** Please highlight sections that address the [E Hallmarks \(E1-E6\)](#) and [E Learning Objectives \(ELO1-ELO4\)](#) by marking them with "E1," "E2," "E3," etc., and "ELO1," "ELO2," "ELO3," etc. to help expedite the Board's review. [Click here to view examples of exemplary E Focus proposals.](#)
 - **includes the following statement *verbatim*:**
"This course has a Contemporary Ethical Issues (E) Focus designation. Contemporary ethical issues are fully integrated into the main course material and will constitute at least 30% of the content. At least 8 hours of class time will be spent discussing ethical issues. Through the use of lectures, discussions and assignments, students will develop basic competency in recognizing and analyzing ethical issues; responsibly deliberating on ethical issues; and making ethically determined judgments."
- Check this box once you have completed the above task.

FOR RENEWALS AND EXISTING APPROVALS

6. Describe

- a. which teaching strategies were most effective during your approval period,
- b. what you learned from your assessment of student competencies in ethical analysis and deliberation, and
- c. how you intend to improve your teaching or assessment strategies.

E Focus Application Submission Checklist:

- A syllabus** that has the information outlined above clearly marked. Please highlight sections that address the [E Hallmarks \(E1-E6\)](#) and [E Learning Objectives \(ELO1-ELO4\)](#) by marking them with "E1," "E2," "E3," etc., and "ELO1," "ELO2," "ELO3," etc. to help expedite the Board's review. [Click here for examples.](#)
- Responses** to all above questions are provided.

HAWAIIAN, ASIAN, AND PACIFIC ISSUES (H OR HAP)

Systemwide Hawaiian, Asian, & Pacific Issues Hallmarks	Systemwide Hawaiian, Asian, and Pacific Issues Learning Objectives (as of 4/21/17)
<p>To fulfill the Hawaiian, Asian, & Pacific Issues Focus requirement, at least two thirds of a course must satisfy the following Hallmarks:</p> <p>H1. The content should reflect the intersection of Asian and/or Pacific Island cultures with Native Hawaiian culture.</p> <p>H2. A course can use any disciplinary or multi-disciplinary approach provided that a component of the course uses assignments or practica that encourage learning that comes from the cultural perspectives, values, and world views rooted in the experiences of peoples indigenous to Hawai'i, the Pacific, and Asia.</p> <p>H3. A course should include at least one topic that is crucial to an understanding of the histories, or cultures, or beliefs, or the arts, or the societal, or political, or economic, or technological processes of these regions; for example, the relationships of societal structures to the natural environment.</p> <p>H4. A course should involve an in-depth analysis or understanding of the issues being studied in the hope of fostering multi-cultural respect and understanding.</p>	<p>Students will be able to:</p> <p>HLO1. Explain the intersection of Native Hawaiian issues with Asian and/or Pacific Islands issues.</p> <p>HLO2. Analyze issues using the conceptual and ethical frameworks and practices of the cultural perspectives, values, and world views of the Indigenous peoples of Hawai'i and the Pacific and/or Asia.</p> <p>HLO3. Integrate the histories, cultures, beliefs, arts, social, political, economic, or technological processes in their analysis of Hawai'i, and the Pacific and/or Asia.</p> <p>HLO4. Demonstrate respect and empathy as defined by the Indigenous peoples of Hawai'i and the Pacific and/or Asia in interpersonal and intergroup relationships.</p>

Explanatory Notes

- *The course must compare and contrast Native Hawaiian¹ culture with Pacific Islander cultures² and/or Asian cultures.³ A course exclusively about Native Hawaiian culture, Pacific Islander cultures, or Asian cultures is not eligible for a HAP Focus designation. A course that does not include intersection with Native Hawaiian culture is not eligible for a HAP Focus designation.*
- *The structure, content, and activities of the course should be designed to emphasize the intersection between cultures and can be organized in any format. A significant portion of the course materials must be authored or created by Native Hawaiians, Asians and Pacific Islanders to ensure the inclusion of their voices, values, and perspectives. Proposals should also explain how the course frames the inclusion of perspectives from Native Hawaiians, Asians and Pacific Islanders.*
- *It is important that faculty teaching HAP Focus courses ensure Native Hawaiian, Asian and Pacific Islander voices are heard. In doing so, students are introduced to those perspectives, world-views and cultural understandings in the disciplinary topics covered in the course and are encouraged to develop an appreciation for cultural perspectives that might be different from their own.*
- *HAP Focus courses should promote self-reflection as well as intercultural knowledge through the analysis of regional issues rooted in Native Hawaiian, Asian, and Pacific Islander perspectives and experiences. Successful proposals have demonstrated that respect and understanding will be fostered through reflection papers/projects, cross-cultural activities, community engagement, service learning opportunities, and attendance at cultural events. Proposals that cite having a diverse student population as evidence of how the course will encourage intercultural knowledge and perspective sharing will be deemed insufficient.*
- *The concept of intersection of Native Hawaiian culture with either or both of the other two regions is key. A course exclusively about Hawai'i, the Pacific Islands, or Asia is not eligible for a HAP Focus designation. A course that does not include relationships with Native Hawaiian Culture is not eligible for a HAP Focus designation.*
- *The course design must include both the Native Hawaiian voice and the native voice from the indigenous people of the area of intersection. These could be represented through publications, videos, guest speakers, or field trips, for example.*

¹ The term "Native Hawaiian" is defined in different ways, depending on the context in which it is used. For the purposes of these Explanatory Notes, "Native Hawaiian" refers to the Indigenous population of Hawai'i.

² The term "Pacific Islander" refers to the peoples Indigenous to Micronesia, Polynesia and Melanesia and the Pacific diaspora.

³ The "A" in HAP aims to be inclusive, allowing for the intersection of Asian cultures with Native Hawaiian culture and the cultures of Pacific Islanders. Asian perspectives can include Asians, Indigenous Asians, Asian migrants/diasporas/refugees, Asian immigrants/settlers, Asian-Americans, and mixed-race Asians.

To Request or Renew a HAP Designation:

Please provide ALL of the information requested below. The HAP Board will contact you for clarification if necessary. If diacritics are used, please apply them correctly and consistently to all course proposal materials submitted. Also make sure to consistently capitalize Indigenous throughout your course materials. The UH Communication Office's [Hawaiian Language Considerations](#) provides useful resources. [Click here to view examples of exemplary HAP Focus proposals.](#)

1. HAP proposal narrative: At least two-thirds of the course must meet the Hallmarks, and [all Hallmarks \(H1-H4\)](#) must be addressed. Describe how the course is designed to: explore the intersection of Native Hawaiian culture with Asian and/or Pacific Islander cultures ([H1](#)); encourage learning of perspectives authored by the Indigenous peoples of the intersected regions* ([H2](#)); provide regional understanding ([H3](#)); and foster multicultural respect and understanding through cross-cultural analysis ([H4](#)).

* Note: The HAP Board can help you find those sources. It is important to include them in your syllabus because we want our students to learn from HAP scholars, authors, etc.

2. Annotated syllabus: Provide the course syllabus that includes a weekly schedule and an accounting of all the lectures, activities, readings, and assignments that are being used to satisfy the [HAP Hallmarks](#). For each, explain in 1-2 sentences which Hallmark is being addressed and how it is being addressed, when applicable. Highlight sections that address the [HAP Hallmarks \(H1-H4\)](#) and [HAP Learning Objectives \(HLO1-HLO4\)](#) by marking them with "H1," "H2," "H3," etc., and "HLO1," "HLO2," "HLO3," etc. to help expedite the Board's review.

- 3. Annotated bibliography:** Provide a complete course bibliography that includes all required readings, videos, or other sources of information students will be engaging with in the course.
- The bibliography should have full citations in a single citation style and match the readings and other resources included in your course syllabus.
 - [Hallmark 2](#) encourages learning that comes from the cultural perspectives, values, and worldviews rooted in the experiences of Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, and Asians. Given this purpose, annotate those resources in your bibliography that are authored by or represent the perspectives of Native Hawaiians (H), Asians (A) and Pacific Islanders (PI) using the notations below. It is important to include those sources in your syllabus because we want our students to learn from HAP scholars, authors, etc. If you are struggling to find HAP sources in your discipline, please contact the HAP Focus Board for a consultation; we can help you find those sources that provide:
 - Native Hawaiian voice
 - Asian voice *Note: Identify the specific voice represented (e.g., Filipino voice)*
 - Pacific Islander voice *Note: Identify the specific voice represented (e.g., Māori voice)*
 - [Hallmark 1](#) asks that course content reflect the intersection of Native Hawaiian culture with those cultures of the Pacific Islands and Asia. In your bibliography annotate resources that provide the intersection of those cultures by using the following notations:
 - Intersection of Native Hawaiian and Asian cultures (e.g., Japanese, Okinawan)
 - Intersection of Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islands cultures (e.g., Tahitian, Māori, Chamorro)

- 4. Assessment plan:** Explain how you will assess student learning in relation to the HAP Focus learning objectives ([HLO1-HLO4](#)).

FOR RENEWALS AND EXISTING APPROVALS

5. Describe which teaching strategies were most effective during this approval period, what you learned from your assessment of the [HAP Focus Learning Objectives](#), and any planned improvements in teaching or assessment strategies. Be sure that the HAP Board is able to clearly identify these planned improvements in the materials you submitted for this renewal (i.e., in the HAP narrative, syllabus, and bibliography).

ORAL COMMUNICATION (O OR OC)

Learning Objectives

Students will be able to:

- OLO1. Present information orally in an organized manner appropriate for intended a) audience and b) purpose;
- OLO2. Demonstrate effective verbal and non-verbal delivery techniques;
- OLO3. Select and use appropriate content for oral (presentation) activity/context; and
- OLO4. Generate/use/develop visual aids and handouts appropriate to the rhetorical situation that promote clarity, interest, and comprehension.

Hallmarks

- O1. Each student will conduct or participate in a minimum of three oral communication assignments or a comparable amount of oral communication activity during the class. In addition, at least 40% of the final grade for a 3+ credit course will be a function of the student's oral communication activities (60% for a 2-credit course; 100% for a 1-credit course).
- O2. Each student will receive explicit training, in the context of the class, in oral communication concerns relevant to the assignment or activity.
- O3. Each student will receive specific feedback, critiquing, and grading of the oral communication assignments or activities from the instructor.
- O4. If instructor feedback primarily involves individual or paired students, enrollment will be limited to 20 students. If instructor feedback primarily involves groups of students, enrollment will be limited to 30 students.
- O5. The course will be numbered at the 300- or 400-level.

Explanatory Notes and Helpful Tips

- *Instructors must include the following statement in the syllabus: "Only students who satisfactorily complete the oral communication assignments will be allowed to pass the course with a "D" or better."*
- *It is assumed that O classes will develop assignments responsive to student needs and to the professional demands students will face. Consequently, a variety of assignments can be designed to satisfy the O requirement, which must be viewed and evaluated by the instructor.*
- *Simple class attendance does not constitute an oral communication activity and will not be counted toward the required percentage. If class participation is included in an oral activity, a rubric must be provided or the syllabi must include a description of how the quality and quantity of participation will be assessed. This includes criteria that differentiates between high and low quality participation, and how students will receive feedback on their participation throughout the semester.*
- *For oral communication assignments and activities, at least some of the points allocated must be awarded for oral skills assessment. Assignments and activities that are evaluated only for content may not be counted toward fulfilling the O requirement.*
- *Assignment descriptions in the syllabus or supporting materials must include specific skills or behaviors required for a successful performance (e.g., clearly-stated conclusion).*
- *Detailed evaluation criteria per oral communication activity should be included in each syllabus. This should make clear how feedback will be provided (e.g., checklist, holistic rubric, analytic rubric) and the foci of this feedback. This may be in the form of a descriptive summary or a fully developed rubric if available.*
- *It is possible for online courses to carry an O Focus designation. Successful proposals have included assignments featuring both audio and visual components (i.e., no audio-only recordings or voice-overs) and "one shot" recordings so students can practice "real-time" communication.*
- *If the course has five or more credits and the instructor wishes to reduce the grade percentage associated with OC assignments, then a justification must be given in the proposal, and this may be subject to negotiation with the O Board. The minimum allowable percentage is 30%.*
- *Instructor feedback is preferred and highly encouraged. While peer and/or self feedback is acceptable, training procedures for such types of feedback should be clearly described in the course syllabus.*

To Request or Renew an O Designation:

Please provide ALL of the information requested below. The Board will contact you if clarification or additional information is needed. [Click here to view examples of exemplary O Focus proposals.](#)

- **A syllabus** that has
 - **information discussed below clearly marked.** (See [here](#) for example.) Please highlight sections that address the [O Focus Hallmarks and Learning Objectives](#) by marking them with "O1," "O2," "O3," etc., and "OLO1," "OLO2," "OLO3," etc. to help expedite the Board's review.
 - **the following statement verbatim:** "Only students who satisfactorily complete the oral communication assignments will be allowed to pass the course with a "D" or better."
 - **detailed evaluation criteria per oral communication activity.** This should make clear how feedback will be provided (e.g., checklist, holistic rubric, analytic rubric) and the foci of this feedback. This may be in the form of a descriptive summary or a fully developed rubric if available. (Note: Examples of assessment tools can be found at <https://manoa.hawaii.edu/gened/c-cat/o/>)
- **A completed O Focus chart**
- **Answers to the O questions**

All information provided on this chart should also appear on your syllabus, which should be marked to expedite Board review. The Board strongly recommends copying and pasting relevant sections from your syllabus into the chart. Please use as much space as needed to elaborate on your answers.

Name and brief description of activity: (Note: Please ensure that the name of the activity matches the name used in the syllabus).	Page #s in which the activity/assignment is available on the syllabus.	What percentage of the student's final course grade will be based on this activity/assignment? (Note: if there are multiple parts to the assignment, please only include the percentage of the final grade focused specifically on achieving the OC Learning Objectives).	Which of the OC Learning Objectives (OLO1, OLO2, OLO3, OLO4) is the activity or assignment intended to address?	How will instructor feedback be provided to students (i.e. individuals, pairs, or groups of 3+)	What form(s) of feedback will be given to students (e.g. written, oral, peer, narrative, self, etc.)
1.					

2.					
3.					
4.					
		TOTAL:			

1. Which enrollment cap you are applying for? ([Hallmark O4](#)):

- 20-student maximum
- 30-student maximum

2. Indicate which method(s) each instructor will employ PRIOR to having their students engage in each of the oral communication assignments/activities (check all that apply):

- Lecture on communication skills
- Workshop-style activities
- Modeling of appropriate communication behaviors
- Providing examples
- Providing students with rubrics in advance
- Other:

3. When answering this question, please refer to the assessment tool you have attached to the application:

- a. Which oral communication assignment(s) does your assessment tool apply to?
- b. How do you plan to use this assessment tool to provide quality feedback to your students?

4. Are any of your oral communication assignments pre-recorded AND edited? Yes or no. If yes, describe how the editing process relates to skills required for your discipline.

FOR RENEWALS AND EXISTING APPROVALS

5. Have there been any changes to the oral communication components of the course? If yes, please explain.

6. Describe which teaching strategies were most effective during this approval period, what you learned from your assessment of the [OC Learning Objectives](#), and any planned improvements in teaching or assessment strategies.

FOR PROPOSALS REQUESTING BOTH AN OC AND A WI FOCUS

Check this box if your course DOES NOT have any assignments that have both an oral and a written component in the same assignment. (You may leave the chart blank.)

or

Complete the following chart if your course DOES have assignments with both an oral and a written component in the same assignment.

Assignment	OC component of assignment	% of final course grade dedicated to OC component	WI component of assignment	% of final course grade dedicated to WI component	Word count (~250 words = 1 page double spaced)
<i>Example: Case Study</i>	<i>Presentation</i>	<i>10%</i>	<i>Reflection Journal</i>	<i>10%</i>	<i>500 words</i>

WRITING INTENSIVE (W OR WI)

Learning Objectives

Students will be able to:

- WLO1. Adapt writing to a clearly identified purpose and audience, according to disciplinary conventions and genres;
- WLO2. Develop and organize appropriate and relevant content;
- WLO3. Evaluate and integrate supporting materials from appropriate sources, and credit them appropriately according to the genre and discourse requirements of the field; and
- WLO4. Control style and mechanics to communicate effectively.

Hallmarks

- W1. The class uses writing to promote the learning of course materials.
- W2. The class provides interaction between the instructor and students while students do assigned writing.
- W3. Written assignments contribute significantly to each student's course grade.
- W4. The class requires students to do a substantial amount of writing—a minimum of 4,000 words, or about 16 pages.
- W5. To allow for meaningful professor-student interaction on each student's writing, the class is restricted to 20 students.

Explanatory Notes and Helpful Tips

- *Instructors assign formal and informal writing, both in class and out, to increase students' understanding of course material as well as to improve writing skills.*
- *Types of interaction will vary. For example, a professor who requires the completion of one long essay may review sections of the essay, write comments on drafts, and be available for conferences. The professor who requires several short papers may demonstrate techniques for drafting and revising in the classroom, give guidance during the composition of the papers, and consult with students after they complete their papers.*
- *Only students who satisfactorily complete the writing assignments should be allowed to pass the course with a "D" or better. Thus, the Board recommends that writing assignments count for at least 40% of the course grade. Alternatively, the Board recommends that if writing assignments count less than 40%, the course instructor state explicitly on the syllabus that "students must adequately complete all writing assignments to pass the course with a 'D' or better. Students who do not complete all writing assignments will get a D- or an F and will not earn W Focus credit."*
- *The types of writing assigned will vary and may include formal and "informal" (writing that is not revised) writing. Depending on the course content, students may write analytic essays, critical reviews, journals, lab reports, research reports, reaction papers, etc. Note: In-class quizzes and in-class exams where students do not have the ability to make revisions do not count toward the W component of the course. Take-home exams may qualify if the quality of the writing is assessed.*
- *Each section of a W course has an enrollment cap of 20 students. Over-enrollment requests will only be considered in specific circumstances. Please see [this Google Form](#) for more details and to submit a request.*

To Request or Renew a W Designation:

Please provide ALL of the information requested below. The W Board will contact the Course Coordinator for clarification or additional information if needed.

- **A syllabus that has the W information provided below clearly marked.** Please highlight sections that address the [W Focus Hallmarks and Learning Objectives](#) by marking them with “W1,” “W2,” “W3,” etc., and “WLO1,” “WLO2,” “WLO3,” etc. to help expedite the Board’s review. Having this information in the syllabus helps students understand why the course has a W Focus.
- **A completed W Focus chart**
- **Answers to the W questions.** The Board does not expect every W Focus course to fulfill every Writing Intensive learning objective. It recognizes disciplinary differences and respects them. The language in the learning objectives seeks to capture and allow for those differences, so answers to the following questions can vary widely and incorporate details outside of writing instruction. For example, a course in which student products include poetry or a lab report may reflect genres that exclude source citations. [Click here to view examples of exemplary W Focus proposals.](#)

All information provided on the chart should also appear on the course syllabus, which should be marked to expedite Board review (i.e., highlight pertinent sections and indicate which Hallmark ([W1](#), [W2](#), [W3](#), etc.) is being addressed). The Board strongly recommends copying and pasting relevant sections from the syllabus into the chart.

List each writing assignment or type of assignment that involves the W Focus (e.g., prospectus, literature review, research paper, critical analysis). [W1]	Explain how the instructor will work directly with the students to help them successfully complete the assignment (e.g., give written/oral feedback, do in-class writing workshops, provide the opportunity to revise). [W2]	Indicate what percentage of the student’s grade will be a factor of the assignment.* [W3]	Indicate the number of pages or word counts required of <u>each</u> student for the assignment. [W4] <i>NOTE: Do not include in-class exams or count multiple drafts more than once.</i>
1.			
2.			

3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
		TOTAL:	TOTAL:

1. Please indicate how the course addresses the [WLOs](#) by answering the following questions.

A. Where and how do students adapt their writing to a clearly identified purpose and audience? [\[WLO1\]](#)

Students adapt writing to a clearly identified purpose and audience by (please check all that apply):

- writing within parameters for an audience and purpose that are given in the assignment
- developing a thesis statement, statement of purpose, or hypothesis
- engaging with material produced by a particular audience (i.e., "entering the scholarly conversation")
- writing with conventions and in a genre that are recognizable to and valued by a particular audience
- other (explain):

B. Are disciplinary conventions (e.g., citation style, organization, tone and diction) and genres (e.g., the literature review or lab report) modelled for students? Are they explicitly explained in class lectures, discussion, and/or in assignments? [\[WLO1\]](#)

Conventions and genres are (please check all that apply):

- modelled for students (with sample texts, used to explain not just content but how the writer/s is/are employing conventions and conforming to genre)
- explained in class lectures
- discussed as a class
- explicitly explained in writing assignments
- other (explain):

Students receive feedback with regards to their use of disciplinary conventions and genre in their writings from (please check all that apply):

- the instructor
- their peers
- other (explain):

C. Is appropriate and relevant content given to students for their writing assignments in the course? And/or, do students learn to identify appropriate and relevant content for their writings through research? [\[WLO2\]](#)

Appropriate and relevant content is (please check all that apply):

- presented to students in course readings and lectures
- identified by students through research
- other (please explain):

Students receive feedback that supports the development of appropriate and relevant content in their writings from (please check all that apply):

- the instructor
- their peers
- other (explain):

D. If students will be asked to work with sources as part of the conventions/genres they are assigned in the course, please check all that apply. (If sources are not used, please indicate "n/a") [\[WLO3\]](#)

Students are taught:

- to evaluate supporting materials from appropriate sources
- how to integrate supporting materials from appropriate sources
- to credit sources appropriately according to the genre and discourse requirements of the field
- other (please explain):

E. If grammar and mechanics are taught or reviewed as part of the conventions/genres that are covered in this course [WLO4], please check all that apply. (If grammar and mechanics are not addressed, check "Not applicable"):

- Grammar and mechanics are only addressed if errors impede the meaning in students' writings.
- Grammar and mechanics errors are regularly addressed in students' writings.
- Grammar and mechanics are reviewed in class lectures, with worksheets, and/or in peer reviews.
- Not applicable
- Other (please explain):

2. For graded writing assignments involving group work, indicate how each individual student's work will be accounted for so that the distribution of labor is equitable, and each student meets the minimum word requirement for a W Focus. (If the course does not include group assignments, please respond to this question with "n/a.")

FOR RENEWALS AND EXISTING APPROVALS

3. Explain any changes the department plans to make in the W aspects of the class.

Please make sure your W submission includes:

- Revised course description that notes an “emphasis on writing instruction” or something similar. Other examples include “develops written communication skills” or “significant attention paid to developing writing skills.”
- Annotated syllabus to note where Hallmarks and SLOs are explicitly addressed, including information about how the recursive writing process will be supported
- Minimum count of written work that adds up to at least 16 pages or 4000 words (earlier drafts of the same written work do not count toward these minimums). In addition, given the emphasis on students developing a recursive writing process, take-home final exams should not constitute a significant portion of the word/page count.
- Information in the chart that matches information provided in the syllabus (e.g., actual page counts or word counts, each writing assignment’s proportion of grade)