

UROP Presentation Rubric

All UROP Presentation applications are reviewed by members of the Undergraduate Research Opportunities Council (UROC) and/or ad hoc faculty reviewers from UHM based on the criteria shown in the UROP Presentation Rubric below. Once the application evaluation and scoring are complete, the sum of all scores will be divided by 6 (the total number of criteria) to create a score on a 4.0 scale. All required components must be included for application funding consideration.

Criterion/Score	4	3	2	1	0
Abstract / Significance	The abstract is clear and understandable to those outside the field	The abstract is sufficiently clear and understandable to those outside the field	The abstract is somewhat clear and understandable to those outside the field	The abstract is not clear and understandable to those outside the field	No Abstract / Significance
Rationale for Conference/Event/etc.	Rationale for the value of participation is clear	Rationale for the value of participation is sufficient	Rationale for the value of participation is insufficient	Rationale for the value of participation is poor	No Rationale for conference / event / etc.
Applicant's Role	Applicant's role will clearly facilitate a positive learning outcome	Applicant's role will sufficiently facilitate a positive learning outcome	Applicant's role will somewhat facilitate a positive learning outcome	Applicant's role will not facilitate a positive learning outcome	No Applicant's Role
Budget	Projected items and expenses are clearly justified	Projected items and expenses are sufficiently justified	Projected items and expenses are somewhat justified	Projected items and expenses are not justified	No Budget
Presentation Recommendation Form	Evaluations clearly show mentor's support of the student and reflect high quality of the proposed presentation	Evaluations sufficiently show mentor's support of the student and reflect good quality of the proposed presentation	Evaluations somewhat show mentor's support of the student and reflect moderate quality of the proposed presentation	Evaluations do not show mentor's support of the student and reflect poor quality of the proposed presentation	No Presentation Evaluation
GPA	The scoring for grade-point average utilizes the 4.0 scale for the applicant's cumulative academic record, including all transfer credits.				