A. Attendees:
   a. Panel Members: Peter Vincent, Sanford Murata, Juli M. Kimura Walters, Steve Meder, Kazi Ashraf
   b. Staff: Mark Gilbert

B. Agenda
   a. Snyder Hall Renovation Plan
   b. Campus Center Expansion Plan (Phase III)

C. Summary
   a. General support for conceptual renovation design for Snyder Hall, with some questions regarding integration with adjacent Edmondson Hall; cohesion with McCarthy Mall and the surrounding landscape; and provision of social space.
   b. If project must proceed, support for minimal footprint increase to the currently very large Campus Center structure, and hope to see greater design detail that improves structure’s porosity and softens exterior.

D. Snyder Hall Renovation
   a. Presented by John Hara
   b. Panel Response to Presentation
      i. Design is good, but needs further consideration of walkways and entrances—critical design features that must be included in the scope
      ii. If middle entrance is to be removed, Panel wants assurance that entrance walkway is removed and impact on McCarthy Mall is eliminated
      iii. Panel members request assurance that this renovation will be cohesive with ongoing renovation in adjacent Edmondson Hall
      iv. Panel largely supportive of straightforwardness of the design
      v. Request that operability and maintainability are critically assessed and considered
      vi. The domain of the entries should be clarified, as a seamless connection is needed between the building and McCarthy Mall
      vii. Panel members request consideration of social space provision at Edmondson/Snyder entry areas, as social space is a vital element missing from most of the campus
      viii. Panel members hope renovation will be as respectful as possible to building’s integrity, given its newly-designated eligibility for the state’s historic register
   c. Follow up Items
      i. Panel requests Edmondson Hall renovation drawings to assess level of cohesiveness between the two renovation plans
E. **Campus Center Expansion Plan (Phase III)**

   a. Presented by Ushijima Architects and Facilities Management Office staff

   b. **Panel Response to Presentation**
      
      i. Support for greater building connectivity with surrounding landscape and buildings
      
      ii. Question of scope for what alterations can be proposed by the panel
      
      iii. Comments regarding the complexity of the entries and the need for greater structural porosity
      
      iv. Design development should respect exterior connections and context
      
      v. Way-finding and arrival points the current weakness of the building, so a major renovation is an opportunity to make it a new strength
      
      vi. Some concern that building is already oversized and obese, so it should be softened and mitigated as much as possible
      
      vii. Desire for little or no footprint growth, or at least to ensure there is no further sprawl by the building
      
      viii. Support for the proposed internal functionality in the interior plans
      
      ix. Campus Center considered the worst yet the most important building on campus and it should be thoroughly and critically evaluated by design team
      
      x. Campus Center currently climate-inappropriate, so new changes should open up the building as much as possible
      
      xi. Unilateral agreement that de-bunkerizing and improving porosity should be main design goals
      
      xii. Panel would also like to see exploration of ground-level accessibility if possible

   c. **Follow up items**
      
      i. Panel would like to receive greater context before or upon review, including site maps, scope clarification, goals, and existing conditions for project review
      
      ii. Need to review project once comments are integrated by design team and preliminary designs have been created

   Meeting adjourned