

Campus Facilities Planning Board (CFPB)

Summary Points of Meeting

September 7, 2012

Hawai'i Hall 309

12:00 pm – 2:00 pm

Attendees: Steve Meder, Vassilis Syrmos, Reed Dasenbrock, Peter Crouch, Cheri Vasek, Sam King, Clark Llewellyn, Spencer Leineweber, and Ann Sakuma

Guests: Charles Kaneshiro (Group 70), Donald Young and Judith Stilgenbauer (College of Education).

The committee unanimously approved the meeting recap of August 2012.

College of Education Master Plan Update (Charles Kaneshiro – Group 70)

- The four (4) schemes under review have been narrowed down to *Scheme 2 with Updates* after assessments were completed, including those on survey, infrastructure, traffic, and landscape. See link on COE Project Update for details. ULS = University Lab School. CC = Children's Center.
- Major themes include the incorporation of nature into the master plan and creating a gathering place for the university and community.
- Currently, there is no appropriate and safe drop-off area causing many people to drop-off illegally on Dole Street where traffic is heavy. A one way road will be included in the COE master plan which stretches from Metcalf Street to Dole Street.
- There will be four (4) new buildings which will undergo prioritization. Group recommended the project begin with the COE classroom/office building which will be primarily used by the College but can also be used as surge space for the university. There is also the option of using the \$6M in design money to proceed with this building.
- Potential to also start with the faculty housing complex so current parking can be moved to build roadway and the funds collected for the housing units can help to move project forward. This portion will be financed through CIP and housing rental fees.
- The proposed Scheme 2 plan adequately meets the needs of COE and also provides room for 20% expansion.
- Space to be occupied by ULS will be shared use space with COE. The facilities use fee for ULS is currently \$100K per year. The ULS also has a reserve fund with UHF Friends of the Laboratory School which includes parent donations, approximately \$25K per year.
- Federal government has provided construction grants/loans for charter schools.
- \$4M remain from AIG insurance from the June 2006 fire.

Conclusion: The Board approves the concept of Scheme 2 with the upgrades as outlined in the presentation and also recommends COE check into the state and federal funds available to Charter Schools for construction projects.

Keller Hall Renovation (Reed Dasenbrock, Steve Meder)

- Seeking Committee's endorsement on the future use of Keller Hall.
- ITS will be vacating the 2nd floor and more than half of the 1st floor in Keller Hall when

the new ITS building is completed in 2013. The 1st floor will remain with ITS at roughly 30% of their current occupancy and will require 24-hour air conditioning – Diamond Head wing server farm.

- Need to assess whether the mechanical infrastructure of the building needs repair or will require a full overhaul to determine whether Keller can be renovated. A facilities condition assessment has found that the central system, which has not undergone any upgrades, is working - not efficiently but still operating. Approach project as a whole rather than doing piece meal.
- The upper floors of Keller are naturally ventilated. 2nd floor could be restored to full ventilation.

Conclusion: The Board recommends a consultant do a study on the feasibility of making Keller Hall a naturally ventilated building.

Sinclair Basement Renovation (Vassilis Syrmos, Reed Dasenbrock)

- Proposal by Gregg Geary: Memo dated July 2, 2012. See link entitled *Library Proposal*.
- Proposal also on the table for Research Compliance Office to occupy 5K sqft of basement space to be vacated by ITS in April 2013.
- Library hosts the Student Success Center which has attracted much use. Student attendance is currently much greater at Sinclair than Hamilton.
- The 3rd floor is fully air conditioned. The 2nd floor is an engineering book museum with old science journals. Utilization of the 2nd floor seems to be very minimal, if at all.

Conclusion: The Board recommends and supports the Sinclair basement for the Office of Research Compliance. Makes sense for this unit to be near the Office of Research Services already located in the basement. The Committee also recommends the 2nd floor be used to expand the Student Success Center per Gregg's memo under the condition that Sinclair Library be responsible for clearing the 2nd floor space for their needs.

Lower Campus Master Planning Update (Vassilis Syrmos)

- No progress to report at this time.

Board of Regents Budget Meeting Update (Reed Dasenbrock)

- The budget briefing covered the Project Renovate to Innovate but no specifics were discussed.
- The Chancellor's presentation included Kuykendall, Snyder, and other future projects.
- Discussions were conceptual in nature and no decisions made. Will know at next meeting.

Planning/Building Delivery Integration (Steve Meder)

- The proposed *UHM Project Planning Process* is to identify most urgent projects for the campus and to ensure ongoing discussion to better plan and predict desirable outcomes.
- Planning to build metrics into the process, establish both academic and operational goals, and have larger landscape of the campus understood to better handle emergencies and prioritize projects.
- Small Tent: The Planning Working Group will initially be comprised of two (2) people by VCAA and two (2) people by VCRGE + Facilities & Grounds + Planning Office.

- Big Tent: Establish an all campus working group including Housing + Athletics + Campus Center + OCI to meet at least twice a year before the legislative session to understand what is being planned and done throughout the campus.
- Project Delivery: Ensure end users are actively engaged in the process, identify goals and ensure master plans of the campus are addressed and met. Objective is to institutionalize the process by integrating the master plans, stipulating standards, reinforcing the university's mission, and making sure the campus values are embodied in the projects with visible operations improved.

Conclusion: Steve will build recommendations into the proposed planning process.

Other Topics

- Lunch Wagons on Campus – concern raised on the locations of some of the lunch wagons. What are the safety issues and requirements the campus needs to be aware of regarding lunch wagons? Are they being addressed?

Conclusion: Steve will invite Andy Lachman to attend a CFPB meeting to address concerns and questions.