Campus Facilities Planning Board (CFPB)

Summary Points of Meeting September 4, 2015 Hawai'i Hall 309 12:00 pm – 2:00 pm

Attendees: Steve Meder, Kathy Cutshaw, Robert Bley-Vroman, Kevin Griffin, Lori Ideta, Reed Dasenbrock, David Matlin, Brian Taylor, Daniel Friedman, Cheri Vasek, Kristin Kumashiro, Peter Crouch.

Guests: Deborah Huebler, Charles Noffsinger

1. Approval of Meeting Notes

• The August 7, 2015 meeting recap was approved and posted on the CFPB website.

2. Key Card Access Update (D. Huebler, C. Noffsinger)

- Key card access systems using different platforms currently in use around campus (Architecture, Student Housing, Recreation Center, etc.).
- Propose to standardize access to campus buildings via the One Card System tied to Blackboard technology (system used by OVCS). Aim is to select a system that can be used for the entire Mānoa campus and even other UH campuses.
 - Can be used for both key and door access + swipe or proximity.
 - o High functioning to identify when someone last entered or exited a building.
 - o Can be configured by user.
 - o Compatible with the elevator modernization system.
- Previous discussions included establishing a One Card Office to handle management of a campus-wide system, including payment matters. A group will need to be convened to do outreach, seek feedback, and establish an infrastructure for the system.
- Legacy card systems have enabled departments to manage their own platforms. However, there are issues regarding overrides during emergencies.
- D. Friedman shared that the move from keys to cards was an effective change for the Department of Architecture.
- Campus Services will work with the procurement office if CFPB endorses the Blackboard System for the entire campus.

CONCLUSION: The committee endorses the proposal for the One Card as a centralized system for the campus. Members recommend involving ITS and OHR in discussions and to form a committee to establish next steps.

3. <u>CIP Budgets (S. Med</u>er)

- Mānoa is doing \$70M in capital projects for FY13-15.
- The campus was asked to take \$30M from current lapsing funds for the new building which has pushed out scheduled projects into future years.
- Mānoa will be getting \$29.7M, a record low, in funding for FY16
- FY17 Supplemental Request (\$86M for health and safety) is currently going through request process and will require Legislative approval.

- FY18 PDRs will assess POST, Biomed, and Keller Hall.
- Holmes Hall is in \$2M of assessment and planning.
- There have been no parking rate increases for Mānoa in seven (7) years. UH System has clarified that CRDM can be used for self-supporting operations.
- OPF is also working to tackle \$500K of deferred maintenance. Other options to knock down DM, such as energy efficient programs, are needed. Deutsche Bank proposal has moved forward to the President's office for review and approval.

CONCLUSION: As part of the planning process, the committee recommends developing an impact statement for each project that is not funded.

4. <u>Development Plan and New Building (S. Meder)</u>

- Building Site: The original Pamoa Building Site has sewer pipe and surface drainage issues. Henke Hall site may be most likely alternative due to tight timeline of project. Parking lot by Biomed will be too tight to accommodate new occupants at Pamoa site.
- The new building will be a research dedicated facility (PBRC + Microbiology). Programming for the 60K sqft Henke site will move forward.
- Funds from the current CRDM will be used for the new design-build facility.
- The Mini-Master Plan will include moves for Campus Services, Snyder, Kuykendall and Dean.

CONCLUSION: The committee reluctantly accepts the Henke Hall site for the new building due to concerns of aesthetics, loss of planned surge space, and being in line with Chancellor's objective of building McCarthy Mall to be student centric. If UH builds on the Henke Hall site, the committee recommends UH System return equivalent square footage occupied by System offices for surge space.

5. <u>UHM Comprehensive Campus Master Plan (S. Meder)</u>

- There is skepticism regarding the need for \$2.3M for the master plan when urgent campus infrastructure issues need to be addressed.
- Having the comprehensive master plan will enable better decision making at various campus levels.
- Opportunity to do research on other algorithms that may be more dynamic and engaging, such as scenario planning that fractionalizes the Master Plan into chunks and allow each chunk to meet changing needs.

CONCLUSION: The committee recommends having discussions with Regents to learn their viewpoints regarding the Master Plan, to review current trends and dynamic/engaging approaches to campus planning, and to revise the request to fit into the UH System master planning. S. Meder will further discuss with D. Friedman and S. Williams and review options in time to submit request to BOR subcommittee for review.

6. Other Topics

- Composition of CFPB: No proxies due to on-going discussions of campus matters. S. Meder will work with MFS to identify a faculty representative to join the group.
- The committee has no objection for D. Halbert, OVCAA space personnel, to attend meetings as a non-voting member.