

Assessment Results & Improvement Plan

Department/Program and Degree:	Assessment Office
Assessment Project Name:	<i>Curriculum Mapping for Graduate Programs</i>
Semester/Yr Evidence Collected:	Spring 2010
Program Assessment Coordinator:	Marlene P. Lowe & Monica Stitt-Bergh
Person submitting results:	Marlene P. Lowe
Date submitted:	May 4, 2010

Executive Summary:

The summary of the 2008 Annual Assessment reports indicated many graduate programs do not have curriculum maps. In an effort to increase the number of graduate programs with curriculum maps, the Assessment Office (AO) offered a workshop entitled *Curriculum Mapping for Graduate Programs* on April 8, 2010 and again on April 16, 2010. Fourteen people attended the workshops and completed the pre/post-test and satisfaction questionnaire.

Overall, the participants met the learning outcome and were satisfied with the usefulness and effectiveness of the workshops.

1. State the SLO(s) that was Assessed, Targeted, or Studied

At the end of the workshops, participants can create a curriculum map for a graduate program.

Participant satisfaction with the usefulness and effectiveness of the workshop.

2. State the Type(s) of Evidence Gathered

Pre/post-test and satisfaction questionnaire.

3. State How the Evidence was Interpreted, Evaluated, or Analyzed

The AO student worker compiled the data; Marlene analyzed the data then discussed the results with Monica.

4. State How Many Pieces of Evidence Were Collected

14

5. Summarize the Actual Results

- Ten of the 14 participants indicated a positive change in their belief about their ability to create a curriculum map.

- At the end of the workshop, 71% of participants indicated they were “*confident*” or “*very confident*” in their ability to create a curriculum map. The remaining 29% indicated they were “*somewhat confident*” in their ability to create a curriculum map.
- Seventy percent of participants indicated the workshop was “*very useful*” and the remaining 30% indicated it was “*useful.*”
- Seventy-nine percent of participants indicated the workshop was “*very effective*” in increasing their understanding of curriculum mapping for graduate programs. The remaining 21% indicated it was “*effective.*”
- Sixty-three percent of participants indicated the “*examples*” were the most valuable aspect of the workshop.
- Twenty-one percent of participants indicated the “*overview of assessment*” was the least valuable aspect of the workshop.

6. In addition to the actual results, were there additional conclusions or discoveries?

7. Briefly Describe the Distribution and Discussion of Results

Results were distributed and discussed informally.

8. Use of Results/Program Modifications:

At present, the AO is satisfied with the results and does not have plans to make changes to this workshop.

9. Reflect on the Assessment Process

Pre/post-test and satisfaction questionnaire worked well.

10. Other Important Information